Viscoelastic phase diagram of fluorinated and grafted polymer films and proton exchange membranes for fuel cell applications

Yves Leterrier*, Jérémy Thivolle, Fabiane Oliveira and Jan-Anders Månson

Laboratoire de Technologie des Composites et Polymères (LTC)
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Lorenz Gubler, Hicham Ben youcef and Lukas Bonorand
Electrochemistry Laboratory
Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI)
CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

(*) corresponding author
Proton exchange membranes for fuel cell applications were developed based on fluorinated, radiation grafted and crosslinked polymers. These polymers exhibit intricate hygro-thermo-mechanical properties. A phase diagram was developed to map critical transitions in viscoelastic behavior and investigate the influence of the grafted and crosslinked chemistry on these transitions.
ABSTRACT

The influence of temperature and moisture activity on the viscoelastic behavior of fluorinated membranes for fuel cell applications was investigated. Uncrosslinked and crosslinked ETFE based proton-conducting membranes were prepared by radiation grafting and subsequent sulfonation and their behavior was compared with base ETFE film and commercial Nafion® NR212 membrane. Uniaxial tensile tests and stress relaxation tests at controlled temperature and relative humidity (RH) were carried out at 30°C and 50°C for 10% < RH < 90%. Grafted films were stiffer and exhibited stronger strain hardening compared to ETFE. Similarly, both uncrosslinked and crosslinked membranes were stiffer and stronger than Nafion®. Yield stress was also found to decrease and moisture sensitivity to increase upon sulfonation. The viscoelastic relaxation of the grafted films was found to obey a power law behavior with exponent equal to -0.05±0.01, a factor of 2 lower than ETFE, weakly influenced by moisture and temperature. Moreover, the grafted films presented a higher hygrothermal stability compared to their membranes counterparts. In the case of membranes a power law behavior at RH < 60% was also observed. However, a markedly different behavior was evident at RH > 60%, with an almost single time exponential relaxation. An exponential decrease of relaxation time with RH, from 60 s to 10 s was obtained at RH ≥ 70% and 30°C. The general behavior of grafted films observed at 30°C was also obtained at 50°C. However, an anomalous result was noticed for the membranes, with a higher modulus at 50°C compared to 30°C. This behavior was explained by solvation of the sulfonic acid groups by water absorption creating hydrogen bonding within the clusters. A viscoelastic phase diagram was elaborated to map critical conditions (temperature and relative humidity) for transitions in time-dependent behavior, from power law scaling to exponential scaling.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Proton-exchange membranes (PEMs) in polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFC) are required to exhibit chemical, mechanical, and thermal stability to maintain their functionality as electrolyte and separator for reactant gases and electrons over an operating time of several thousand hours [1]. Whereas the chemical stability of the ionomer is governed mainly by the resistance towards oxidative stress generated by radicals formed as intermediates in the membrane electrode assembly (MEA) [2, 3], the mechanical stability of the polymer is determined by the hygro-thermal and viscoelastic properties of the material [4-6]. The proton conductivity of the PEM is a function of the water uptake of the membrane [7], yet the incorporated water leads, owing to the spatial confinement of the membrane in the cell, to the build-up of internal stresses in the ionomer and viscoelastic flow [6], which can lead to pinhole formation and catastrophic failure of the cell. Therefore, the characterization of the time-dependent behavior of PEMs exposed to mechanical stress under conditions relevant to the application, i.e., elevated temperature and humidity, is of importance for the development of durable membranes for fuel cells.

A widely used class of materials as polymer electrolyte in fuel cells are perfluoroalkylsulfonic acid (PFSA) ionomers, such as Nafion® (Dupont) or Flemion® (Asahi Glass), due to their favorable ratio of proton conductivity and water uptake [8], and their excellent chemical stability towards hydrolysis and radical induced degradation. The mechanical properties of Nafion® have been studied by various authors. Temperature and relative humidity have a large impact on the stress-strain properties of Nafion®, with the stress limit for the onset of plastic flow decreasing with humidity and, especially, temperature [6, 9-11]. This led to the development of constitutive models for PFSA membranes [6, 10]. Furthermore, it was found
that while PFSA membranes, exposed to water vapor, appear to follow constitutive behavior of a semicrystalline polymer, the response is more elastomer-like when the material is in contact with liquid water [12]. Based on constitutive models including hygrothermal expansion data of PFSA membranes, it was possible to establish finite-element (FE) models to estimate mechanical stress levels in membranes assembled in a cell fixture, i.e., spatially confined membranes, as a result of changes in temperature and humidity [5, 6, 13]. Experimentally, the stress and strain levels in a constrained membrane were measured using a bimaterial strip consisting of PEEK and Nafion®. Upon cycling of the relative humidity, both tensile and compressive stresses were measured in the order of a few MPa, as well as mechanical strain levels of around 10 %. The hygrothermal aging of the material, as a result of relative humidity cycling, can eventually lead to fatigue-induced failure of the membrane [14]. In this respect, it is important to understand the time-dependent, viscoelastic properties of membranes, which govern their mechanical response over several thousand hours during fuel cell operation. For Nafion®, the tensile stress relaxation properties exhibit a complex dependence on temperature, water activity, and strain [15]. Based on creep tests at different temperatures and relative humidities, Lai et al produced a creep compliance master curve for Nafion® NR-111 membranes, highlighting, that the principle of time-temperature-humidity superposition can be applied [14]. Majsztrik et al. analyzed tensile creep of Nafion® N1110 membranes in the temperature range of 25 to 110°C and humidity range of 0 to 95 %, revealing that small amounts of absorbed water resulted in large changes in the mechanical properties of Nafion® [16]. The role of water is complex and ambiguous: below 40°C water plasticizes Nafion®, but above 90°C it stiffens Nafion®, as also concluded by Bauer et al. [17], who further observed, that increasing water activity shifted the α-transition of the ionic regions to higher temperatures. The relaxation around 100°C to 120°C in Nafion® is somewhat debated in the literature. It has been referred to as glass transition by some authors,
others identified it with a order-disorder (melting) transition of ionic clusters [15]. For a glass
transition, one would expect a decrease in the transition temperature to lower values with
increasing hydration level of the ionomer, which is not observed [16]. Based on the intricate
mechanical response, Majsztrik et al. established a structural phase diagram of Nafion®
comprising different “phases”, such as rod-like or lamellar structures.

In view of the commercialization of fuel cells, there is a demand for more cost-effective
membranes. The key asset of radiation grafted membranes over other types of partially
fluorinated or hydrocarbon polymers considered as PEMs for fuel cells, such as polyarylene
membranes (e.g., [18]) or polymer blends [19], is the lack of a film forming process,
combined with the use of low cost materials, as well as the ability to adjust membrane
parameters (ion exchange capacity, water uptake, flexibility) within a wide range.
Semicrystalline fluorinated or partially fluorinated polymer films, such as fluorinated ethylene
propylene (FEP), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) or ethylene tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) are
typically used as base polymers for the preparation of radiation grafted membranes for fuel
cells. Their chemical and thermal stability and favorable radiation chemistry allows the
introduction of radical sites upon exposure to ionizing radiation without significant radiation-
induced chain degradation [20]. During the grafting step, a copolymer is grown (“grafted”) onto the activated sites of the base polymer via radical polymerization in a monomer solution.
Proton exchange sites are typically introduced subsequently through the sulfonation of the
grafted film. Radiation grafted membranes based on grafted and sulfonated styrene and α-
methylstyrene have shown encouraging performance in the fuel cell, comparable to state-of-the-art PFSA membranes, and a durability of several thousand hours at a temperature of 80°C [21-23]. These materials exhibited also better mechanical stability than Nafion® type
structures [24].
Numerous studies report the influence of irradiation, grafting, sulfonation and crosslinking on crystallinity, thermal degradation and melting behavior of PEM [25, 26]. However, there is a general lack of data on the durability and thermo-mechanical stability of these hygrothermally sensitive materials. Whereas composition, functional properties, such as ionic content, water uptake and proton conductivity, performance and durability in the fuel cell have been studied to a considerable extent [25], the investigation of the mechanical properties was thus far limited to experiments carried out under ambient conditions [24]. It is evident that an understanding of the mechanical properties as well as the viscoelastic behavior of radiation grafted membranes under application-relevant conditions, i.e., at elevated temperature and humidity, is key to develop a PEM with high operational stability.

The objective of this work was to determine the stress-strain behavior and stress-relaxation properties of ETFE film, grafted films, and sulfonated membranes under controlled hygrothermal loads. The results are compared to those of Nafion® 212 as commercial benchmark. Particular attention was paid to the effect of crosslinking, which is required to enhance the dimensional and chemical stability of radiation grafted membranes [27].

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials

An overview of the polymers characterized in this study is given in Figures 1 and 2. The preparation of radiation-grafted membranes involved the three steps of irradiation, grafting and sulfonation. Grafted films and sulfonated membranes, both uncrosslinked and
crosslinked, were prepared from a 25 μm thick ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene base film (ETFE, Tefzel LZ-100, DuPont, USA). The ETFE base film was electron beam irradiated (MeV class, Leoni Studer AG, Däniken) to a dose of 1.5 kGy and subsequently stored at −80°C until used. Grafting was carried out at a temperature of 60°C in a solution consisting of 20% (v/v) monomer, 65% isopropanol (analytical grade; Fisher Scientific), and 15% water. The monomer was either styrene (purum grade; Fluka) or a 19:1 mixture (v/v) of styrene and divinylbenzene (DVB) as crosslinker [28]. The DVB used was of technical grade (~80% DVB, balance 3- and 4-ethylvinylbenzene; Fluka) and contained a mixture of m-DVB and p-DVB. Thus, uncrosslinked and crosslinked ETFE grafted films were obtained. A graft level, defined as the mass of the grafted component with respect to the mass of the base film, of around 25% was targeted by adjusting the grafting time accordingly. Sulfonation of the grafted films was carried out in a solution of 2% (v/v) chlorosulfonic acid (Fluka) in dichloromethane (Fluka), at room temperature for 5 h, followed by hydrolysis of the sulfonyl chloride groups in deionized water (18 MΩ·cm) at 80°C for 8 h.

A state-of-the-art commercial monolithic membrane of 50 μm thickness (Nafion® NR212, Dupont, Fayetteville, NC, USA) was used as received. The properties of all investigated materials are reported in Table 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Nafion®} & \quad \left\{\text{CF}_2\text{CF}_2\right\}_x \left\{\text{CF}_2\text{CF}^{-}\right\}_n \\
\text{ETFE} & \quad \left\{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\right\}_y \left\{\text{CF}_2\text{CF}_2\right\}_n
\end{align*}
\]

*Figure 1. Structure of Nafion® (x ≅ 6.5 for an equivalent weight of 1'100 g/mol) and the ETFE base film used as substrate for radiation grafting.*
2.2. Methods

The sulfonated membranes were characterized as described in detail elsewhere [29]. The ion exchange capacity was determined via titration and the through-plane proton conductivity was measured at room temperature in water-swollen state using ac impedance spectroscopy. The water uptake was determined based on the difference in mass between the wet and dry membrane.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed on a Perkin-Elmer DSC7 instrument under N₂ atmosphere. The base ETFE and the grafted films were measured as prepared, whereas membranes were converted into their salt form by cation exchange in 0.5 M KCl solution, followed by drying at 50°C in the vacuum oven for at least 6 h. Heating runs were performed under N₂ at a rate of 20 °C/min up to 300°C. The melting endotherm of ETFE around 265°C was integrated to obtain the heat of fusion \( \Delta H_f \), from which the crystallinity \( \chi \) was determined according to:

\[
\chi = \frac{\Delta H_f}{\Delta H_f^{\text{cryst}}} \times 100%
\]
\[ \chi = \frac{\Delta H_f}{\Delta H_0} \]  

where \( \Delta H_0 = 113.4 \text{ J/g} \) is the reported heat of fusion for ETFE crystallites. For grafted films and membranes, a correction was applied to account for the dilution of the base polymer by grafted polystyrene and the sulfonic acid group (in salt form), yielding the inherent crystallinity \( \chi_i \):

\[ \chi_i = \frac{\chi}{1 + X_G} \quad \text{for grafted films} \]  

\[ \chi_i = \frac{\chi}{1 + X_G \frac{M(\text{St-SO}_3\text{K})}{M(\text{St})}} \quad \text{for membranes in K\textsuperscript{+}-exchanged form} \]  

Where \( X_G \) is the graft level, \( M(\text{St}) \) and \( M(\text{St-SO}_3\text{K}) \) the molar mass of styrene (104 g/mol) and potassium styrene sulfonate (222 g/mol), respectively.

For mechanical testing, the membranes 1b and 2b were dried in the H\textsuperscript{+} form (i.e., to reflect the conditions prevalent in a fuel cell), and the other materials (ETFE, grafted films 1a and 2a and Nafion® 212) were used as received. All the foils were stored for several weeks at room temperature (approximately 23°C) and room humidity (around 60-70% RH) prior to testing.

Tensile tests were performed on rectangular samples cut from the foils along the so-called machine direction. A Linkam TST350 stage equipped with a 200 N load cell and a temperature and RH controlled chamber was used. The sample gauge dimensions were 11 mm x 12.8 mm and the strain rate was \( 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \). Due to the small sample size, the
accuracy on the stress values was not very high (approximately 8%). The closed-loop
temperature control was achieved with a flat heated Ni block enabling a stability of the
temperature of ± 0.1°C. The relative humidity was monitored with a chilled-mirror, dew point
analyzer (RH200, VTI Corp.) and was stable within ±1%. The tests were done at 30°C and at
50%, 70% and 90% RH. Care was exercised to ensure that samples, once mounted in the
chamber of the tensile stage, had reached equilibrium, an essential condition regarding
moisture uptake as clearly outlined in [16]. In the work of Majsztrik et al., equilibration times
varied from 1'000 s at temperatures above 90°C to 100'000 s at room temperature for 254 μm
thick Nafion® foils. It was shown that the water sorption in sulfonated polymers is controlled
by interfacial mass transport [30] and scales with membrane thickness (and not with the
square of thickness as for Fickian diffusion) [31]. The equilibration time for the 50 μm thick
Nafion membrane and the 25 μm thick membranes 1b and 2b was therefore estimated to be
20'000 s and 10'000 s at room temperature, respectively. In the present work, the samples
were conditioned at the selected RH level for 1 h prior to testing. Some samples were further
conditioned for longer times (15 h or more) at 30°C, and their properties were found to be
similar to those of 1 h conditioned samples. This means that the moisture concentration in the
samples after 1 h was close enough to the equilibrium value to obtain meaningful results.

Stress relaxation tests were performed using the same stage and same sample type and
dimensions as for the tensile tests. Samples were loaded at a rate of 3 \(10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}\) to a fixed strain \(\varepsilon_0\) of approximately 1.6%. This strain was well below the yield strain of the films and
membranes (around 5%) and it was assumed to be within the linear viscoelastic domain. The
stress \(\sigma\) was recorded for a period of 1 h and the relaxation modulus \(E_r\) was calculated as the
ratio \(\sigma/\varepsilon_0\). The relaxation time \(t_r\) included a correction for the loading time \(t_l\) following the
Zapas–Craft approach: \(t_r = t - t_l/2\), where \(t\) is the time with origin \(t = 0\) at the onset of loading.
This correction enabled accounting for the relaxation, which occurred during loading [32]. Tests were carried out both at 30°C (10%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80% and 90% RH) and at 50°C (50% and 90% RH). Samples tested at 30°C were conditioned during 1 h as for the tensile tests. However, it was observed that at 50°C the membranes 1b, 2b and Nafion® 212 had not reached equilibrium after 1 h. These tests samples were thus conditioned overnight for a minimum of 15 h, when their properties had stabilized. Such a large increase of equilibration time with increasing temperature is not understood. It is suggested, that slow structural reorganization processes might occur (case II diffusion), which were not detected at 30°C. Similar findings were reported by Satterfield and Benziger [16, 31], which these authors ascribed to the clustering of sulfonate groups. Additional investigations (neutron diffraction in-situ [30]) would be needed to clarify this issue.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Process-structure relations

The materials used for the experimental investigations included the ETFE base film, grafted films and sulfonated membranes, the latter two in uncrosslinked and crosslinked versions. Nafion® 212 membranes were used as commercial samples for comparison purposes. The degree of grafting of the materials is reported in Table 1.

Regarding the composition of the crosslinked radiation grafted membrane, it has to be pointed out that the effective ratio of DVB to styrene units in the grafting solution and in the membrane differed due to the different reactivity and, perhaps, diffusivity of the two monomers. We have found, based on FTIR analysis, that in a grafted film, prepared using a
DVB content of 5 % with respect to the total monomer content in the grafting solution, which corresponds to a molar ratio of DVB to styrene of 4.3 %, the average DVB/styrene molar ratio in the graft component was 2.8 % [33]. In a simple estimate neglecting chain branching or crosslinking as a result of chain transfer, this corresponded to a polystyrene chain length of 36 units between crosslink points, which is equivalent to a molecular weight of 3’700 g/mol, or 6’600 g/mol in the case of styrene sulfonic acid units. However, analysis of surface-near regions of the grafted film using attenuated total reflection (ATR) infrared spectroscopy showed that the extent of crosslinking was more pronounced at the surface, probably because of the higher reactivity of DVB over styrene. For a grafted film prepared with 5 % DVB in the grafting solution, a DVB / styrene ratio of 11 % was measured in the near-surface region [33]. This corresponds to around 9 styrene units between crosslink points.

The influence of grafting and sulfonation on the crystallinity of the ETFE film was investigated using DSC and the results are reported in Table 1. The crystallinity of ETFE film was found to be equal to 33 %. Upon grafting the measured crystallinity decreased, primarily as a result of the dilution of the ETFE polymer by the incorporation of the graft component. Yet also the inherent crystallinity of the grafted films, calculated using Equation (2), was found to be lower, albeit slightly, than that of the base film. This can be explained with a loss of crystallinity at the surface of the crystallites as a result of the introduction of the graft component. No significant difference between crosslinked and uncrosslinked films was observed [33]. The inherent crystallinity of the membranes further decreased compared to the grafted films. Probably, the crystallites were partially disrupted because the absorption of water within the hydrophilic domains led to the build-up of mechanical stress at the interface with the crystallites [34].
In the context of the application of these polymers as electrolyte membranes in fuel cells, it is of interest to characterize the ion exchange capacity (IEC), i.e., the ionic site density in the ionomer, the degree of swelling in water and the proton conductivity of the membranes. These data are also reported in Table 1 and compared against the Nafion® membrane. The mass based IEC is a typical quantity to measure ionic site density. The ion exchange capacity of the uncrosslinked grafted membrane, adjusted via the graft level while considering that the degree of sulfonation of the styrene units is close to 100 % [28], was chosen such that the conductivity of the membrane in water swollen state is similar to that of Nafion® 212, i.e., around 100 mS/cm. The corresponding IEC of around 1.7 mmol/g is significantly higher than the IEC of Nafion® 212, which is around 1.1 mmol/g. It has to be considered that the densities of the two types of membrane are different. However, even if this is taken into account and the volumetric IEC of the swollen membranes are estimated, the ionic site density in the uncrosslinked radiation grafted membrane is still around 50 % higher than in Nafion® 212. For the crosslinked grafted membrane, an IEC value similar to that of the crosslinked membrane was chosen for a meaningful comparison.

The water uptake of Nafion® 212 was somewhat higher than that of the uncrosslinked grafted membrane. The comparison of water content is perhaps more meaningful if one determines the volume fraction of absorbed water in the swollen ionomer. The swollen Nafion® 212 membrane contains water at a volume fraction of 48 %, which is somewhat higher than the 35 % of the uncrosslinked radiation grafted membrane. It is not surprising that the different polymeric materials of Nafion® and styrene grafted and sulfonated ETFE display dissimilar swelling properties. One aspect that may be of importance is that irradiation of ETFE leads to crosslinking reactions [35]. Hence, the nominally “uncrosslinked” radiation grafted membrane may exhibit some degree of crosslinking of the base polymer. In the membrane
prepared using styrene and DVB as co-monomers the polymer is intentionally crosslinked. The used degree of crosslinking, with a styrene:DVB ratio of 95:5 (v/v) in the grafting solution, is an optimized tradeoff between unfavorable effects at low levels of crosslinking, such as excessive swelling and poor chemical stability in the fuel cell, and adverse effects of brittleness and low conductivity in highly crosslinked membranes [28, 34]. The crosslinking leads to a decrease in the level of hydration, with a water volume fraction of 26 % compared to the 35 % in the uncrosslinked sample, and hence to a lowering of proton conductivity from around 100 mS/cm to 62 mS/cm. Yet, crosslinking in radiation grafted membranes is essential to obtain chemically and mechanically stable membranes that can operate for thousands of hours in the fuel cell [21, 36]. The water content of sulfonic acid containing membranes is a governing factor of conductivity. It is perhaps surprising that the uncrosslinked radiation grafted membrane exhibits a similar conductivity compared to Nafion® 212 at lower water uptake. It has to be kept in mind, however, that the ion exchange capacity of the radiation grafted membrane is higher, yielding a higher concentration of charge carriers (i.e., protons).

### 3.2. Mechanical behavior

Figure 3 shows the stress-strain behavior of the grafted films and membranes at 30°C and at RH levels of 50%, 70% and 90%. In all cases the materials yielded and could be strained beyond 100% with significant strain hardening. The rather different strain at break values is believed to be an artifact resulting from the sensitivity of film specimens to fracture in presence of small edge defects. Moreover, the apparent greater RH dependence of the behavior of grafted films compared with membranes is due to the relatively high uncertainty (8%) on the stress values. Relevant properties are in fact the Young’s modulus and the yield stress, reported in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.
Figure 3. Tensile behavior of ETFE, grafted films 1a and 2a, Nafion® 212 and membranes 1b and 2b at 30°C and at 50%, 70% and 90% relative humidity.

Figure 4. Young’s and relaxation moduli of ETFE and grafted films at 30°C and of membranes at 30°C (small symbols) and 50°C (large symbols) as a function of relative humidity. Standard deviation was available only in the case of repeated tensile tests.
The Young’s modulus of ETFE and both grafted films was close to 600 MPa and 1 GPa at 30°C, respectively, and independent of RH within experimental scatter. In contrast, at this temperature the Young’s modulus of the membranes decreased considerably with increasing RH, with highest values for membrane 1b and lowest values for Nafion®. The modulus of the crosslinked membrane 2b was significantly lower than that of the uncrosslinked membrane 1b. This result is consistent with the higher inherent crystallinity of the latter membrane. However the water content was also higher in membrane 1b, which on the contrary should have resulted in a lower modulus. This finding may imply previously reported water stiffening of PEM due to formation of H-bonds within the sulfonic acid group clusters [15].

The initial values of the relaxation modulus $E_r(t_r = t_l/2)$ are also displayed in Figure 4 and coincide within scatter with the Young’s modulus. At 50°C the moduli of the membranes were comparable (1b and 2b) or lower (Nafion®) to the value at 30°C and 50%RH, but were much higher at 90%RH, particularly for the grafted membranes (i.e., 1330 MPa at 50°C vs 500 MPa at 30°C for membrane 1b, and 890 MPa at 50°C vs 290 MPa at 30°C for membrane

Figure 5. Yield stress of ETFE, grafted films and membranes at 30°C as a function of relative humidity.
2b). This unexpected increase in modulus with increasing temperature at high water activity is discussed in a later section.

Figure 6 regroups the relaxation behavior of all films and membranes at 30°C and in the range of 10-90% RH. The relaxation of ETFE and grafted films in log-log coordinates was almost linear for all investigated RH levels and was described by a power law behavior:

$$E_r(t_r) = E_r^0 t_r^\lambda$$

(4)

where $E_r^0$ is the initial modulus, $t_r$ is the relaxation time and $\lambda$ is the power law exponent.

Such a self-similar relaxation dynamics is typical of elastomers [37], entangled ring-type polymers [38] and nanocomposite suspensions [39]. The influence of moisture on the modulus was rather limited in the case of ETFE, and slightly more pronounced in the case of the grafted films, as already noticed for the Young’s modulus. However, in all cases, the relaxation exponent was independent of moisture, as shown in Figure 8. The exponent was equal to -0.069±0.009 for ETFE, approximately 80% higher than that of the grafted films, equal to -0.039±0.006 for film 1a, and -0.039±0.008 for film 2a. This result confirms the improved viscoelastic stability achieved through the incorporation of aromatic units as part of the grafting process. However no difference was found between film 1a and crosslinked film 2a.
The relaxation of the membranes was significantly different: a power law behavior was also evident for all three types, but only at RH levels below 50%. Above 50% RH the relaxation of the membranes drastically changed to become exponential. These tests also confirmed the stiffness ranking Nafion® < crosslinked membrane 2b < uncrosslinked membrane 1b. All the data were fitted with a stretched exponential (KWW model [40, 41]):

$$E_r(t) = E_r^0 \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^\beta \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\tau$ is a characteristic relaxation time ($E_r(\tau) = E_r^0 / e \approx 0.368 E_r^0$) and the exponent $0 < \beta < 1$ represents the width of the relaxation time spectrum.

Figure 7 compares the relaxation behavior of the grafted films and membranes at 50% and 90% RH, and at 30°C and 50°C. The self-similar behavior of grafted films at 30°C (Figures 6
and 7a) was also observed at 50°C (7b). The anomalous increase of modulus of the membranes with increasing temperature at high water activity is again evident (7c and 7e). Such a behavior is characteristic of polymers above their glass transition temperature $T_g$, with a modulus that is proportional to temperature due to entropy effects. In fact, assuming that the $T_g$ of wet membranes is below 30°C, only ~7% of the measured increase of modulus with temperature would be attributed to their rubbery behavior. The measured increase being much more than 7% other factors must be invoked. Moreover, it invalidates the time-temperature superposition observed in previous works, which is discussed later. The increase of modulus with RH (hence with water activity, 7d and 7e) can be explained by solvation of the sulfonic acid groups by water absorption creating hydrogen bonding within the clusters [15].

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Relaxation modulus of ETFE and grafted films (a,b) and membranes (c,d,e) at various RH levels and temperatures as indicated.

The KWW relaxation parameters of the membranes are also reported in Figure 8 for all investigated RH levels. At 30°C the relaxation time of the three types of membranes was of comparable magnitude with a marked decrease upon increasing RH. It was equal to
approximately 4 h at 10% RH, and to approximately 30 s at 90% RH. The values around 50-60% RH displayed rather large scatter, reflecting a transition in behavior in this humidity range. The exponent also changed with RH level, being lower than 0.2 at RH < 50% (the limit $\beta = 0$ corresponds to a power law behavior), and close to 1 at RH > 60% (the limit $\beta = 1$ corresponds to a single relaxation time process, so-called Maxwell behavior).

The viscoelastic relaxation of the membranes at 50°C was quite different compared to 30°C, especially at 90% RH, the highest RH level investigated. At 50% RH the Nafion® and crosslinked membranes exhibited an exponential relaxation behavior (Figure 7d) with exponent $\beta$ of approximately 0.4-0.5. In contrast the relaxation of uncrosslinked membrane 1b was still of power-law type, with $\beta \sim 0.1$. At 50°C and 90% RH the opposite scaling behavior was observed (Figure 7e), with power-law type relaxation for Nafion® and membrane 2b ($\beta < 0.2$), and slightly more exponential relaxation for membrane 1b ($\beta = 0.23$). These complicated results reflect very specific temperature-dependent interactions between water and the microstructure of the membrane materials, as discussed in the following section.
Figure 8. Parameters of the relaxation models at 30°C (small symbols for membranes) and at 50°C (large symbols for membranes) as a function of water activity: power law exponent $\lambda$ for the relaxation of ETFE and grafted films (Eq. 4, the dotted lines show the average values of $\lambda$), and relaxation time $\tau$ and KWW exponent $\beta$ for membranes (Eq. 5, the horizontal dotted lines show the limits for $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, and the vertical dotted lines represent the critical RH values at 30°C and 50°C as indicated).

4. DISCUSSION

Figure 9 represents a tentative viscoelastic phase diagram of the investigated PEMs, showing the boundaries between the power-law and exponential relaxation behaviors. This diagram is based on the structural data from the group of Benziger [16], which was elaborated considering local minima in creep strain rate as a function of temperature and RH. The disordered and lamella phases discussed by these authors were not explored in the present work.
It turns out that the transition from the power-law relaxation to the exponential relaxation correlates with a phase change in the structure of the hydrophilic domains, namely from spherical sulphonic acid clusters to rods (see e.g. Fig. 1 in [42]), characteristic of second order transitions. The relaxation parameter $\beta$ (Equation 5) would thus represent the critical exponent of the transition (continuity of free energy and e.g., relaxation modulus, and its derivative with respect to the state variable RH, and discontinuous second derivative at a critical RH). The transition was found to occur at comparable hygrothermal conditions for Nafion® and membrane 2b, and was shifted to higher water activity for membrane 1b.

---

**Figure 9.** Viscoelastic phase diagram of PEM, with boundaries between power-law and exponential scaling for Nafion®, uncrosslinked membrane 1b and crosslinked membrane 2b. The dots represent the investigated temperatures and relative humidity.

The additional transition, from the exponential to the power-law detected at 50°C and 90%RH was also shown in the diagram. Again, based on the data from Fig. 8, the transition in behavior of membrane 1b appeared to occur at higher water activity compared to Nafion® and membrane 2b. This second transition would correlate with the large increase of water uptake.
of PEM at very high water activity, as modeled in [42], and with the large increase in time to reach equilibrium at 50°C mentioned in Section 3. The increase of modulus of the membranes between 30°C and 50°C at high RH (Figures 4 and 7) is also consistent with crossing the transition line. At such high water activity the water uptake, and thus the swelling strain increase with increasing temperature [6, 9-11], the latter reaching values as high as 0.2. The stiffness of the strained membranes would in turn increase, owing to their strain hardening behavior shown in Figure 3. This large swelling phenomenon, combined with the increasing H-bonding within the sulfonic clusters, could explain the observed anomalous stiffening of the membranes with temperature.

It should be pointed out that the boundaries shown in the phase diagram are based on linear viscoelastic relaxation data (applied strain of 1.6% well below the yield strain of the films and membranes, around 5%). At higher strain levels (e.g. [43]), nonlinear processes would be activated (viscoelastic and plastic) and these would change the shape of the relaxation spectrum with a reduction of the exponent $\beta$ (see e.g., [44]). Whether this change of relaxation behavior would impact the transitions depicted in Figure 9 is however unlikely since the same transitions were observed beyond yield strain [16]. In other words, the present viscoelastic phase diagram should be valid at high strain levels relevant for fuel cell operation.

As a whole, it was found that the viscoelastic behavior of the crosslinked grafted membrane 2b is similar to that of Nafion® 212, whereas the uncrosslinked grafted membrane 1b behaves somewhat differently. Based on investigations of the morphology of crosslinked and uncrosslinked grafted films using small-angle scattering techniques, it was established that large-scale structural density fluctuations in the range of 180 nm develop upon grafting in
case of uncrosslinked films, whereas in the case of crosslinked films, the structural features of the base film are preserved [45], thereby exhibiting morphological characteristics more similar to those of Nafion® [46].

The observed phase transitions invalidate the principles of time-temperature and time-humidity superposition validated in previous studies for similar fluorinated membranes. Lai et al. reported a creep compliance master curve for Nafion® 111 based on measurements carried out over a range of temperature and relative humidity conditions [14], yet the parameter space probed was limited to the ‘exponential’ area in the phase diagram of Figure 9. Satterfield et al. highlighted time-temperature superposition for sets of measurements in dry and 100% RH conditions, which again corresponds to the probing of a single-phase region in the phase diagram [15]. Time-humidity superposition, however, was not obeyed in their work, neither in the present work, owing to the fact that the material undergoes a phase transition in the respective parameter space. This illustrates the complexity of the time-dependent mechanical properties of ion-containing polymers, where the structure and morphology of the ionomer critically depends on the level of hydration. This appears to be the case for Nafion® as well as the grafted membranes.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The viscoelastic behavior of uncrosslinked and crosslinked ETFE-based grafted films and proton-conducting membranes at 30°C and 50°C and at a relative humidity in the range of 10% to 90% were investigated and compared to the behavior of the base ETFE film and to a commercial monolytic Nafion® membrane. Grafted films were found to be stiffer and exhibited stronger strain hardening compared to ETFE for all investigated conditions.
Similarly, both uncrosslinked and crosslinked membranes were stiffer and stronger than Nafion®. The yield stress of the materials was also found to decrease and their moisture sensitivity to increase upon sulfonation. The viscoelastic relaxation of the grafted films was found to obey a power law behavior with exponent equal to -0.05±0.01, a factor of 2 lower than ETFE, weakly influenced by moisture and temperature. The grafted films moreover presented a higher hygrothermal stability compared to the membranes.

A viscoelastic phase diagram was elaborated for the membranes to map critical conditions (temperature and relative humidity) in terms of transitions in time-dependent behavior. A first transition from power law scaling to exponential scaling was observed at a critical RH, equal to 60% at 30°C, and below 50% at 50°C. The exponential regime was of Maxwell-type with a single relaxation time decreasing exponentially from 60 s to 10 s with water activity at RH ≥ 70% and 30°C. A second transition, from the exponential to the power-law regimes was detected at 50°C and 90%RH and was attributed to the large water uptake at such a very high water activity. The resulting swelling phenomenon, combined with the formation of H-bonds within the sulphonic clusters was argued to control the measured stiffening of the wet membranes between 30°C and 50°C. The viscoelastic phase behavior of the crosslinked and the Nafion® membranes were similar, whereas it differed for the uncrosslinked membrane. In the latter case the two phase transitions were shifted to a higher water activity, which was related to the presence of large-scale density fluctuations generated during the grafting step.
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Table 1. Structure and property data for ETFE, grafted films and proton-exchange membranes. The graft component refers to the composition of the grafting solution (DVB = divinylbenzene). The inherent crystallinity $\chi_i$ indicates the effective crystallinity of the original ETFE film. The water uptake in the swollen polymers was measured at room temperature (wt%) and calculated (vol%) according to Balog et al. [47]. The conductivity was measured in water swollen state at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Graft component</th>
<th>Ion exchange capacity (mmol/g)</th>
<th>Crystallinity $\chi$ (%)</th>
<th>Inherent crystallinity $\chi_i$ (%)</th>
<th>Water uptake (wt% / vol%)</th>
<th>Conductivity (mS/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETFE base film</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>33.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafted film 1a</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>31.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane 1b</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.06</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>26.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>34 ± 3 / 35 ± 2</td>
<td>102 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafted film 2a (XL)</td>
<td>styrene / 5% DVB</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>31.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane 2b (XL)</td>
<td>styrene / 5% DVB</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.08</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>23.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>22 ± 3 / 26 ± 3</td>
<td>62 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nafion® 212</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td>13.6 [48]</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 ± 1 / 48 ± 1</td>
<td>97 ± 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>