QstR-dependent regulation of natural competence and type VI secretion in *Vibrio cholerae*
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ABSTRACT

During growth on chitinous surfaces in its natural aquatic environment *Vibrio cholerae* develops natural competence for transformation and kills neighboring non-immune bacteria using a type VI secretion system (T6SS). Activation of these two phenotypes requires the chitin-induced regulator TfoX, but also integrates signals from quorum sensing via the intermediate regulator QstR, which belongs to the LuxR-type family of regulators. Here, we define the QstR regulon using RNA sequencing. Moreover, by mapping QstR binding sites using chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with deep sequencing we demonstrate that QstR is a transcription factor that binds upstream of the up- and down-regulated genes. Like other LuxR-type family transcriptional regulators we show that QstR function is dependent on dimerization. However, in contrast to the well-studied LuxR-type biofilm regulator VpsT of *V. cholerae*, which requires the second messenger c-di-GMP, we show that QstR dimerization and function is c-di-GMP-independent. Surprisingly, although ComEA, which is a periplasmic DNA-binding protein essential for transformation, is produced in a QstR-dependent manner, QstR-binding was not detected upstream of *comEA* suggesting the existence of a further regulatory pathway. Overall, these results provide detailed insights into the function of a key regulator of natural competence and type VI secretion in *V. cholerae*.

INTRODUCTION

*Vibrio cholerae*, the human pathogen responsible for the diarrheal disease cholera, is commonly found in aquatic environments, often in association with the chitinous exoskeletons of zooplankton and shellfish (1). Chitin not only provides a colonization surface and a source of nutrients, but also significantly influences the gene expression profile of *V. cholerae* (2) including induction of the physiological state of natural competence for transformation (3). Natural competence for transformation, the ability of a bacterium to actively take up DNA from the environment and maintain it in a heritable state resulting in transformation, is widespread throughout the bacterial tree of life with ~ 80 species experimentally shown to be transformable. Moreover, competence development is often tightly regulated in response to specific environmental signals (4,5).

The regulatory network controlling competence development in *V. cholerae* is complex (recently reviewed in (6)) and is centered around the chitin-induced regulator TfoX, which in turn activates the expression of the genes encoding the DNA uptake machinery (3). In addition to chitin, competence development also requires other signals such as the unavailability of certain carbon sources (via cAMP receptor protein - CRP; (7)) or the regulatory protein CytR (8) and high cell density (via the regulator HapR; (9–11)).

High cell density, measured through the local concentration of secreted autoinducers (*Vibrio* species specific cholera autoinducer 1 [CAI-1] and autoinducer 2 [AI-2]), leads to the accumulation of the master regulator of quorum sensing (QS) HapR (12). HapR was shown to be crucial for full expression of *comEA* and *comEC* (3,9–11), which encode, respectively, a periplasmic DNA-binding protein required for DNA-uptake and the predicted inner membrane channel responsible for translocation of the incoming DNA into the cytoplasm (13–15). Additionally, HapR represses *dus*, which encodes an extracellular DNase that degrades transforming material (11,16).

We previously showed that HapR regulates *comEA* and *comEC* indirectly via an intermediate regulator, which we termed QstR (QS and TfoX-dependent Regulator) (17). QstR is necessary for transformation and both TfoX and HapR regulate its production, thus, integrating both chitin and QS regulatory pathways. Furthermore, we showed that HapR directly binds to the *dus* promoter to repress it, but that further repression is achieved through QstR (17). However, the mechanism by which QstR regulates these genes is unknown.

Recently, our group demonstrated that in addition to competence induction, growth on chitin leads to TfoX-dependent activation of the type VI secretion system (T6SS)
Co-regulation of competence with the T6SS, which forms a molecular killing device (19,20), allows the killing of neighboring non-immune cells followed by uptake of their DNA, and thus, promotes horizontal gene transfer on chitin surfaces. Notably, the TfoX-mediated expression of T6SS genes is QS and QstR-dependent, as demonstrated by expression profiling, T6SS activity testing, and imaging on chitinous surfaces (18).

QstR is predicted to possess a LuxR-type C-terminal DNA-binding helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain (17). Proteins belonging to the LuxR-type superfamily are response regulators that often dimerize and bind DNA in response to phosphorylation (e.g. NarL of *Escherichia coli* and FixJ of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (21,22)), autoinducers (e.g. LuxR of *Vibrio fischeri* and TraR of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (23,24)) or ligand binding (maltotriose and ATP for MalT of *E. coli* and c-di-GMP for VpsT of *V. cholerae* (25,26)). The C-terminal domain of QstR shares significant homology with the LuxR-type regulator VpsT, which can directly sense the secondary messenger c-di-GMP and controls biofilm matrix production in *V. cholerae*. The binding of c-di-GMP to VpsT elicits a conformational change that leads to dimer formation, which is required for DNA-binding and transcriptional activation of the target genes (26). The conserved c-di-GMP binding motif W[F/L/M][T/S]R of VpsT is present in QstR, however, with proline in the third position, raising the question of how this substitution might impact the activity of QstR.

Here, we define the QstR regulon and identify additional QstR-regulated genes. We go on to assess the contribution of these genes to natural transformation and T6SS-mediated killing, as well as the interplay between TfoX and QstR in their regulation. Additionally, we demonstrate that QstR binds to DNA directly upstream of the regulated genes and, similarly to VpsT, that dimerization is required for DNA-binding. In contrast to VpsT, however, elevated intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations are not required for QstR activity. Furthermore, we provide evidence that QstR-dependent activation of *comEA* expression might be achieved via an as yet unidentified intermediate regulator.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions**

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. *E. coli* strains DH5α, TOP10, XL10-Gold were used for cloning and derivatives of strains S17-1pir and MFDpir served as donors in mating experiments with *V. cholerae*. Bacteria were cultured in LB medium or on LB Agar (Luria/Miller; Carl Roth) at 30°C or 37°C as required. Where appropriate the following antibiotics were used for selection: ampicillin (Amp, 50 or 100 μg/ml), kanamycin (Kan, 75 μg/ml for *V. cholerae*; 50 μg/ml for *E. coli*), chloramphenicol (Cm, 2.5 μg/ml), gentamicin (Gent, 50 μg/ml), streptomycin (Str, 100 μg/ml) and rifampicin (Rif, 100 μg/ml). Thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose agar (TCBS; Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with appropriate antibiotics was used for selection of *V. cholerae* following mating with *E. coli*. For strain construction or to assess natural transformability on chitin bacteria were grown on chitin flakes in 0.5× defined artificial sea water (DASW) supplemented with 50 mM HEPES and vitamins (MEM, Gibco) as previously described (3,27). Where indicated the growth medium was supplemented with 0.02% or 0.2% arabinose to induce expression from the PBAD promoter. To visualize β-galactosidase (LacZ) activity plates were supplemented with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal; AppliChem) at a final concentration of 40 μg/ml. To induce expression from the bacterial two-hybrid plasmids 0.5 mM Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; AppliChem) was used. The counter-selection following biparental mating with *E. coli* carrying pGP704-Sac28 derivatives was done on NaCl-free LB plates supplemented with 10% sucrose.

**Strain construction**

DNA manipulations and molecular cloning were performed according to standard procedures (28). Genetic engineering of *V. cholerae* was carried out by the previously described TransFLP method (27,29) or using derivatives of counter-selectable plasmid pGP704-Sac28 delivered by biparental mating from *E. coli* (2). To insert the *tfoX* fragment (*araC* plus *tfoX* under the control of PBAD promoter) in the lacZ gene a *pheS*-based counter selection was used ((30), van der Henst et al., bioRxiv: https://doi.org/10.1101/235598). All constructs were verified by colony PCR and sequencing (Microsynth, Switzerland). The mini-Tn7 transposon carrying *araC* and either *tfoX*, *qstR*, *qstR*([L137A]), *vdcA* or *cdpA* under control of PBAD promoter was delivered by triparental mating as described previously (31) and the insertion in the *V. cholerae* chromosome was confirmed by PCR.

**Sequence alignment**

QstR (VC0396, NP_230050) and VpsT (VCA0952, NP_233336) protein sequence alignment was generated with Clustal Omega (32) and edited with ESPript 3.0 (33).

**Transformation assay**

Transformability of *V. cholerae* strains was assessed either on chitin as previously described (27,29) or in chitin-independent transformation assays with expression of an arabinose-inducible chromosomal copy of *tfoX* either from Tn*tfoX* (11) or *ifoxX*. All strains were grown to a similar OD600 of ~1–1.5. Genomic DNA of a strain carrying a kanamycin resistance cassette in the lacZ gene added to a final concentration of 2 μg/ml was used as transforming material. Transformation frequencies were calculated as the ratio of kanamycin resistant transformants to the total number of bacteria.

**Interbacterial killing assay**

The interbacterial killing assay was performed according to the previously published protocol (18). Briefly, *V. cholerae* strains were grown to OD600 ~ 1.5 in the culture medium without or with 0.2% arabinose to induce *tfoX* and/or *qstR* from the transposon and were mixed with *E. coli* TOP10
strain in 10:1 ratio (V. cholerae to E. coli). 5 μl of the mixture was spotted on sterile PES membrane filters placed on pre-warmed LB plates (±0.2% arabinose) and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. The bacteria were subsequently washed from the filters and serial dilutions were spotted on LB plates supplemented with streptomycin to select for surviving prey cells (e.g. streptomycin-resistant E. coli).

Western blotting

The cultures for Western blotting were grown in the indicated medium (±inducer), harvested, and resuspended in an appropriate volume of 2× Laemmli buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the optical density at 600nm of the initial culture (OD<sub>600</sub>) followed by 15 min incubation at 95°C. The proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE (15%) and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Merck Millipore) using a wet- or semi-dry transfer apparatus. After incubation with the blocking buffer (2.5% skimmed milk in 1× Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20), one of the following primary antibodies was added: anti-QstR (raised in rabbits against synthetic peptides; Eurogentec #1412414) at 1:2500 dilution; anti-ComEA (raised in rabbits against synthetic peptides; Eurogentec #GP1248; (34)), anti-Hcp (against synthetic peptide, Eurogentec #1510528; (35)), anti-HapR (against synthetic peptide, Biomatik #A000542; (11)), all at 1:5000 dilution; anti-RNAP-beta (Neoclone raised in mouse) at dilution 1:2000. The secondary antibodies were conjugated to HRP and used at dilution 1:5000. Anti-QstR antibody was detected using Enhanced Chemiluminescence system (Roche). The proteins were visualized by chemiluminescence using Lumi-Plus Western Blotting Substrate (Roche).

β-galactosidase assay

Overnight culture of each strain was spotted on LB plates containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin, 50 μg/ml kanamycin, 0.5 mM IPTG and 40 μg/ml X-gal. After 24 h incubation at 30°C the cells were collected, resuspended in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and the suspensions were diluted 10-fold. 500 μl of cell suspension was used in the assay and diluted if necessary. β-galactosidase activity was then measured using the previously established protocol (36).

Protein purification

N-terminally tagged (Strep-tagII) wild-type QstR and QstR[L137A] were produced from pBAD-qstR-N-strep and pBAD-qstR-N-strep[L137A] plasmids, respectively, in E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) (Agilent). The strains were grown in LB medium with shaking at 30°C to OD<sub>600</sub> ∼0.8, then arabinose at a final concentration of 0.2% was added and the cultures were further incubated at 16°C overnight. The harvested cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate pH 8.0, 125 mM NaCl, 1% Triton) supplemented with Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail and DNaseI at a final concentration of 20 μg/ml (both Roche). The cells were lysed by repeated passing through a French press cell and the lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 17000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The cleared lysates were applied to columns packed with Strep-Tactin<sup>®</sup> Sepharose (IBA, Germany) equilibrated with Buffer W (100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) and allowed to pass through by gravity flow. The columns were washed 5 times with two column volumes of the washing buffer (Buffer W with 500 mM NaCl). The strep-tagged proteins were eluted in six fractions with buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 2.5 mM D-desthiobiotin. The fractions with highest amounts of proteins, as estimated by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining after SDS PAGE (15%) separation were pooled and concentrated using Amicon Ultra 10K centrifugal filter units (Merck Millipore). The protein concentration was determined using Bradford Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich).

Analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC)

Purified wild-type QstR or QstR[L137A] proteins were applied to Superdex 200 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) gel filtration column. Samples were run in buffer containing 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA at the flow rate 0.5 ml/min. The standard curve was obtained by applying a set of known protein standards (conalbumin 75000 Da, ovalbumin 43000 Da, carbonic anhydrase 29000 Da, ribonuclease A 13700 Da) to the column and plotting partition coefficients (K<sub>p</sub>, calculated according to manufacturer’s instructions) against log<sub>10</sub> of their molecular weight. The molecular weight of QstR and QstR[L137A] was estimated by comparing their partition coefficients to the standard curve.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)

Bacterial growth, RNA purification, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR were performed as previously described (11). LightCycler Nano or LightCycler 96 systems (Roche) were used for qPCR runs. The data were analyzed with the LightCycler Nano or LightCycler 96 software packages (Roche) using the standard curve method. Expression values in the graphs are presented as relative to the mRNA levels of the reference gene gyrA.

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)

Bacterial growth and RNA purification (three replicates per sample) were done as previously described (18). Further processing of the samples and data analysis was conducted by Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland) using Illumina RNA Sequencing for Differential Gene Expression Analysis pipeline as described in (18).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP was performed as described in (37) with modifications. 10 ml (for ChIP-qPCR) or 100 ml (for ChIP-seq) cultures were grown in LB medium supplemented with 0.02% arabinose unless stated otherwise. The cells were transferred to Falcon tubes and cross-linked with formaldehyde added to the final concentration of 1% for 10 min at room temperature followed by 30 min incubation on ice. After two washes...
with sterile PBS the pellets were resuspended in TES buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) and bacteria lysed with Ready-Lyse Lysozome Solution (Epitcome) in presence of protease inhibitors (Roche). The lysates were then sonicated to shear DNA (3 × 10 cycles; 30 s on, 30 s off per cycle; Diagenode) and centrifuged at max speed to remove cell debris. The supernatants were adjusted to 1 ml with ChIP buffer (16.7 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM EDTA, 1.1% Triton X-100, 0.01% SDS). For ChIP-qPCR ~4 μg of total protein was used per sample, for ChIP-seq the obtained lysate was split in two and filled up to 1 ml with ChIP buffer. The diluted lysates were pre-cleared with Protein A Dynabeads (Life Technologies) before being incubated overnight with the anti-QstR antibody. The immuno-complexes were captured by incubation with Protein A Dynabeads, washed and eluted as described (37). The cross-link was reversed by incubation at 65°C overnight and the DNA was isolated using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). For ChIP-qPCR 10% of each sample was removed after the pre-clearing step and the extracted DNA served as input. 1 μl of ChIP samples and diluted input samples served as templates for qPCR performed with primers annealing upstream of the QstR-regulated genes and upstream of gyrA as control. Input % was calculated as follows: Input % = Cq[ChIP] − (Cq[input] − log₂[input dilution factor]). To calculate fold enrichment, the ‘Input%’ for the target gene was divided by ‘Input %’ for gyrA in the same sample.

For the ChIP-seq samples (two replicates per sample), the library preparation, sequencing (Illumina NextSeq 500, mid-output, v2, 2 × 75 bp), and data analysis was carried out by Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland). The reads were mapped to the reference sequence of V. cholerae O1 El Tor strain N16961 (accession numbers NC_002505 and NC_002506 for both chromosones) using the software bowtie2, version 2.2.6 (38). Peak calling, annotation, and motif search was done with the software suite HOMER, version 4.6 (39). The peak images were prepared using IGV software (40).

Transposon mutagenesis screen

For generation of transposon mutants libraries, strain A1552ΔlacZ::comEA-lacZ::FRT- TnfoX was mated with E. coli strain MFDpir carrying pSC189 plasmid that contains the mariner-based transposon (41). The strains were co-cultured on LB agar plates containing diaminopimelic acid (95°C 2 min; 32 × 95°C 15 s, 52°C 30 s, 72°C 1 min 15 s; 72°C 5 min). For round 2, primers ARB2 (43) and MarTransp-Kan-2 (5′ TTC TGA GCG GGA CTC TGG GGT ACG) were used (95°C 2 min; 32 × 95°C 15 s, 52°C 30 s, 72°C 1 min 15 s; 72°C 5 min) with purified PCR product from round 1 as template. Purified PCR products from round 2 were sequenced with MarTransp-Kan-2 primer (Microsynth, Switzerland) and aligned to the genomic DNA sequence.

DNA affinity pull-down assay

30 ml cultures of strain A1552-TnfoX and A1552ΔqstR-TnfoX were grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose and harvested at OD600 ~2. The lysis, probe binding, incubation with the lysate and elution were performed as described in (44). The biotinylated probes contained the fragment upstream of comEA (−341 and +74 relative to the start codon), qstR (−337 and +78 relative to the start codon) and gyrA (−360 and +75 relative to start codon). The fractions eluted at 500 mM NaCl were loaded on 15% SDS polyacrylamide gel and subjected to short migration (until the dye reached ~2 cm from the well). The lanes were excised and proteins identified by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS, EPFL Proteomics Core Facility).

RESULTS

Mutation in the putative dimerization interface abolishes the functionality of QstR

The C-terminal domain of QstR shares homology (35% amino acids identity and 61% similarity) with the well-studied biofilm regulator VpsT (Figure 1A). VpsT undergoes c-di-GMP dependent dimerization, which is required for DNA binding and transcriptional activation of the target genes (26). QstR contains a variant of the conserved c-di-GMP binding motif found in VpsT, with a proline instead of a threonine in the third position (WLPR instead of WLPY). Given the properties of proline this substitution is likely to affect the ability of QstR to bind c-di-GMP. Therefore, to investigate how QstR regulates the known subset of competence and T6SS genes, we introduced mutations corresponding to areas that encode VpsT’s c-di-GMP binding motif and c-di-GMP dependent dimerization interface into the native qstR gene (Figure 1A). We then assessed the transformability of the strains producing these QstR variants in a chitin-independent transformation assay (using an integrated transposon harboring an arabinose-inducible copy of tfoX (TnfoX) to induce competence, as previously established (11)).

Since the genetic engineering method (TransFLP) used to introduce mutations leaves an FRT scar upstream of the qstR promoter, a strain with an FRT scar upstream of wild-type qstR (hereafter referred to as FRT-qstR) was included in our analysis as control. This strain was previously shown to exhibit wild-type levels of competence induction and transformation (34). As shown in Figure 1B restoring the c-di-GMP binding motif of VpsT (FRT-qstR[P129T]) significantly affected the ability of QstR to support transformation, with frequencies below or at the limit of detection. Changing proline to alanine also resulted in significantly reduced transformation frequencies (~100-fold).
Figure 1. Functionality of QstR variants. (A) Alignment of the protein sequence of QstR and VpsT. The c-di-GMP motif and the dimerization interface of VpsT are highlighted and the residues replaced in QstR to create mutants are marked with closed arrows. The putative phosphorylation site is marked with an open arrow. (B and D) Transformation frequencies of strains encoding wild-type QstR and five QstR variants carried either at qstR's native chromosomal locus (B) or on a plasmid to test complementation of a qstR deletion strain (D). All strains carry an inducible copy of tfoX (Tn10tfoX) and were grown with 0.02% arabinose to induce tfoX and therefore competence. In (D) arabinose also induced the expression of qstR variants from the plasmid where indicated. Strains marked as FRT-qstR[XX] contain a FRT scar upstream of the qstR gene (see main text). The values shown are averages of three independent experiments with error bars representing the standard deviation (SD). < d.l., below detection limit; #, transformation frequency below the detection limit in one ([P129T]) or two ([L137A]) experiments. When the transformation frequency was below the detection limit, the limit of detection was used to calculate the average. Significant differences between WT and the strains producing QstR variants were determined by Student’s t-test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; n.s., not significant). For transformation below the detection limit the latter was used for statistical analyses. (C and E) QstR levels produced by strains used in (B) and (D) were assessed by Western blotting with anti-QstR antibody. (–) and (+) indicates absence or presence of 0.02% arabinose (ara) in the culture medium. A non-specific cross-reaction band (* in C) and the anti-RNAP band (E) served as loading controls.
arginine in the c-di-GMP binding motif WLTR, which is conserved among the VpsT homologs, was also shown to be essential for VpsT function with a R134A mutant unable to complement a vpsT deletion (26). However, replacing the corresponding residue of QstR (i.e. R130A) had only a modest effect, with a 10-fold reduction in transformation. This result demonstrates that this residue is not critical for QstR function. Moreover, since R134 of VpsT is responsible for the interaction with c-di-GMP, it suggests that QstR might not interact with c-di-GMP or, at least, not in a manner similar to VpsT.

A VpsT variant with the hydrophobic residue in the c-di-GMP dependent dimerization interface replaced by a polar amino acid (I141E, corresponding to L137 in QstR; Figure 1A) is not functional, due to an inability to form c-di-GMP stabilized dimers (26). Replacing the analogous residue in QstR (FRT-qstR[L137A]) resulted in the detection of only rare transformation events (Figure 1B), suggesting that QstR, like VpsT, might require oligomerization in order to activate the target genes. In contrast, changing the conserved arginine at the edge of the dimerization interface (26) resulting in QstR[R141A] had only a minor effect on transformation (Figure 1B).

Since Western blotting indicated that most of the QstR variants were produced at reduced levels (Figure 1C) we repeated the transformation experiments in a qstR deletion background, with each QstR variant overproduced from a plasmid. Even though the protein levels of the QstR variants were now considerably higher than that of the chromosomally encoded QstR, the transformation results were similar for the [R130A] and [R141A] variants, and although the transformation frequencies for the variants with proline substitutions were markedly increased, they remained significantly lower than for WT QstR (Figure 1D and E). Importantly, even when overproduced, the QstR[L137A] variant was unable to complement the qstR deletion (Figure 1D) highlighting the importance of this residue for QstR function.

The activity of many members of the LuxR-type family of regulators is affected by their phosphorylation state (45). Thus, we introduced substitutions into the putative phosphorylation site of QstR (Figure 1A), which is shared with VpsT and other VpsT homologs in Vibrio species (26), designed to either abolish phosphorylation (D58A) or mimic a constitutively active state (D58E). Similar to VpsT (26), these variants did not affect QstR activity as assessed by transformation (Supplementary Figure S1), suggesting that phosphorylation does not regulate QstR activity. Overall, the analysis of QstR mutants indicates a critical role of L137 residue for QstR function and suggests that dimerization might be required for its activity.

**Dimer formation of QstR is required for its functionality**

To further examine whether QstR is capable of oligomerization we employed a bacterial two-hybrid system, based on reconstitution of the catalytic domain of adenylate cyclase (46). Thus, to investigate QstR self-interaction we fused QstR to either the N- or C-terminus of the T18 and T25 adenylate cyclase domains and transformed E. coli strain BTH101 with all possible plasmid combinations. Indeed, we observed an interaction between QstR in all combinations, as evidenced by blue color development (Supplementary Figure S2A). Next, we inspected the interactions between the QstR variants described above (Figure 1B). We observed a self-interaction for QstR[R130A] and [R141A], although it was reduced as compared to wild-type QstR. In contrast, no interaction was detected for the [P129T], [P129A] and [L137A] variants (Figure 2A), consistent with the functional analysis of these mutants (Fig-

![Figure 2. QstR forms dimers.](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-abstract/46/20/10619/5068245)
ure 1B). We also analyzed the ability of wild-type QstR to interact with the mutant variants and detected an interaction with QstR[P129T] and [P129A] but not QstR[L137A] (Supplementary Figure S2B), indicating that a substitution in this position abolishes any QstR-QstR interaction.

Next, we purified a strep-tagged version of QstR, shown to be fully functional (Figure 1D), and the QstR[L137A] variant to perform analytical size exclusion chromatography (SEC). As shown in Figure 2B, we observed a shift in the protein peak elution volume between wild-type QstR and QstR[L137A]. This was not due to QstR[L137A] degradation, as evidenced by SDS-PAGE separation and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of the concentrated elution fractions (Figure 2B inset). The calculated size of QstR was approximately double that of QstR[L137A] (Supplementary Figure S3), consistent with wild-type QstR behaving as a dimer and QstR[L137A] as a monomer. Collectively, these data suggest that QstR forms dimers via the dimerization interface, with a crucial role for residue L137 in this interaction. Furthermore, given the inability of QstR[L137A] to support transformation, dimerization seems to be essential for QstR function.

QstR is functional under low c-di-GMP concentration

The ability of the QstR[L130A] variant to support transformation and the observation that restoring the canonical c-di-GMP binding motif significantly impaired QstR function, hinted that QstR might not interact with c-di-GMP in the same manner as VpsT. Therefore, we decided to directly examine the effect of intracellular c-di-GMP on QstR’s function in a transformation assay. In order to modulate the intracellular levels of c-di-GMP we induced either vdcA (encoding a diguanylate cyclase that synthesizes c-di-GMP) or cdpA (encoding a phosphodiesterase that degrades c-di-GMP). Both enzymes have previously been used to successfully increase/decrease intracellular c-di-GMP levels (35, 47). In the chitin-independent transformation assay we observed a small reduction in the transformation frequency (~2–3-fold) when c-di-GMP concentration was reduced, for both WT and the FRT-qstR control strain (Figure 3A). A similar effect was also observed on chitin (Figure 3B). Nevertheless, this minor reduction of natural transformability was not statistically significant for all strains in which the c-di-GMP level was lowered. Indeed, these strains were still highly transformable under the tested conditions.

In contrast, in the chitin-independent assay the QstR[P129T] variant only became functional when c-di-GMP concentrations were increased (Figure 3A, shaded box). Remarkably, when grown on the natural competence inducer chitin, a strain producing this QstR variant was transformable without altering c-di-GMP levels, and artificially increasing the c-di-GMP levels did not significantly increase its transformability (Figure 3B, shaded box). Lowering c-di-GMP concentration, however, resulted in no detectable transformation events, suggesting that intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations are considerably higher during growth on chitinous surfaces compared to growth in LB medium. Taken together, the gain of function of QstR[P129T] under increased c-di-GMP levels in the chitin-independent assay and the loss of function under low c-di-GMP levels during growth on chitin, shows that this variant is strictly c-di-GMP dependent and demonstrates the effectiveness of vdcA/cdpA expression in altering intracellular c-di-GMP levels. Furthermore, since in both assays wild-type QstR was functional under low c-di-GMP conditions, these results suggest that the level of this second messenger is not critical for QstR function.

Defining the QstR regulon

We previously showed that QstR is required for the TfoX-dependent induction of comEA, comEC and T6SS genes, and that it also acts in concert with HapR to fully repress the extracellular nuclease dns (17, 18). Here, to better understand QstR-dependent regulation of competence and T6SS we attempted to characterize the QstR regulon. Thus, we first analyzed a previously obtained RNA-seq data set (accession numbers: GSE80217 and GSE79467) comparing the expression profiles between strain A1552 (WT), A1552 carrying an inducible copy of tfoX within a transposon (A1552-TnfoX) and the isogenic strains with either hapR (required for qstR expression) or qstR deleted (18, 35).

In addition to the above-mentioned genes, several other genes that were induced at least 2-fold by TfoX also required QstR for full induction. These genes encode proteins involved in natural competence such as comM and comF, as well as genes not known to affect either of the QstR-dependent phenotypes (competence or type VI secretion) such as ligA2, VC0033 (next to VC0032 = comM), VC0542 and VC1479 (Figure 4A; a full list of TfoX-induced genes is provided in Supplementary file 1). As shown previously, dns repression by TfoX was abolished in ΔqstR strain, while the transcript levels were even higher in the hapR negative strain (Figure 4A, shaded box) consistent with its role as the primary dns repressor (17). Additionally, we also observed TfoX-dependent transcriptional repression of tfoY, which encodes the second master regulator of T6SS (35). Notably, this repression was absent or, at least, occurred to a lower extent, in the qstR and hapR mutants (Figure 4A, shaded box).

To investigate whether QstR alone is sufficient to regulate the expression of the QstR-dependent genes, we inserted an arabinose-inducible copy of qstR into a mini-Tn7 transposon (analogous to TnfoX (11); further referred to as TnqstR) and inserted this transposon into the WT strain. Next, we performed RNA-seq to determine the effects of QstR on the transcriptome compared to TfoX-dependent induction (this experiment a fully functional strep-tagged version of tfoX was used; (35)). In addition, to evaluate whether HapR has any further role in the regulation of QstR-dependent genes, other than qstR activation, we included a ΔhapR-TnqstR strain in the analysis. This strain also carried a vpsA deletion to counteract the increased biofilm production by the ΔhapR mutant. Overall, 51 genes were induced at least 2-fold when QstR alone was produced from the transposon, including all the genes identified as QstR-dependent in the previous analysis (Supplementary file 2). Several of the QstR induced genes, however, where not similarly induced by TfoX production (e.g. VCA0224) or were also induced similarly in the qstR-minus strain in...
Figure 3. QstR is functional under low intracellular c-di-GMP concentrations while QstR[P129T] is c-di-GMP dependent. Transformation frequencies of strains carrying a chromosomal, arabinose-inducible copy of either vdcA or cdpA on the transposon to increase (↑) or decrease (↓) intracellular c-di-GMP levels, respectively, compared to isogenic strains without the transposon. (A) Transformation frequencies were determined in a chitin-independent transformation assay with all strains encoding an arabinose-inducible copy of tfoX at a neutral chromosomal locus (tfoX). The strains were grown with 0.2% arabinose to induce expression of tfoX and vdcA/cdpA. (B) Transformation was assessed upon growth on chitin. Where indicated, 0.2% arabinose was added to induce vdcA/cdpA expression. Due to the FRT scar upstream of qstR in FRT-qstR[P129T] (shaded box) the strains with a FRT scar upstream of qstR were used as additional control. The values are averages of three independent experiments with error bars representing the SD. < d.l., below detection limit; #, transformation frequency below the detection limit in two out of three experiments, thus the detection limit was used for calculation of the average for this strain. Significant differences were determined by Student’s t-test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; n.s., not significant). For undetectable transformation the detection limit was used for statistical analyses.

the previous data set (e.g. VC1187, 14.8-fold induction by TfoX in WT, 14-fold induction by TfoX in ΔqstR strain; Supplementary file 1). Since these genes do not appear to be QstR-dependent, they were not analyzed further.

A more detailed examination of the RNA-seq data revealed that QstR is sufficient to induce the expression of the majority of QstR-dependent genes (including all genes encoding proteins involved in T6SS) to a comparable extent as TfoX (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure S4A). This finding suggests that the role of TfoX in their regulation might be through TfoX’s ability to induce qstR. However, QstR was not sufficient to fully induce the expression of comEA since it was induced by QstR ~87-fold compared to ~490-fold by TfoX, in line with our previous report about comEA expression (34). The difference in comEA production between strains induced for TfoX or QstR individually was also observable at the protein level (compare lanes 3 and 5 in Supplementary Figure S4B). However, co-production of both TfoX and QstR resulted in enhanced expression of comEA and elevated protein levels (Supplementary Figure S4A and S4B, lane 9) demonstrating that both regulators are required for full comEA induction. Furthermore, deletion of hapR had no effect on QstR-induced expression of almost all the genes tested, except for comEA and ligA2. The transcript levels of these genes were markedly reduced in the ΔhapR-TnqstR strain compared to the TnqstR strain (Figure 4B, Supplementary Figure S4A), suggesting an additional role of HapR (other than qstR activation) in the regulation of these genes. 8 genes were also repressed at least 2-fold when QstR was produced alone (Supplementary file 2), including dns (but not in the hapR mutant, see above) and tfoY (Figure 4B).

Next, we attempted to evaluate the contribution of the genes induced by QstR to the known QstR-mediated phenotypes, i.e. natural transformation and interbacterial killing. As shown in Figure 4C the killing of E. coli was unaffected in strains deleted for any of the QstR-dependent genes outside of the T6SS clusters (vipA, the first gene in the large T6SS cluster is shown as a representative example). As shown previously, deletion of comEA, comEC or comF all abolished transformation (15) while deletion of comM resulted in only a marginal (5-fold) reduction in transformation (Figure 4D). Deletion of the other genes (i.e. ligA2, VC1479, VC0033 and VC0542) did not have any apparent effects on transformability or interbacterial killing. The reason for their QstR-dependent regulation therefore remains unknown.

QstR is sufficient to induce interbacterial killing but not competence

In line with the expression profiles of T6SS genes, a strain producing QstR from the transposon without any tfoX induction killed E. coli as efficiently as a strain induced for tfoX (Figure 5A). In contrast, a strain producing the non-dimerizing QstR[L137A] variant was unable to kill E. coli (Figure 5A). These results show that TfoX, once QstR expression is turned on, is no longer required and that QstR alone is sufficient for the induction of the T6SS, leading to its full functionality in interbacterial killing. Similarly, deletion of hapR in a QstR overproducing strain (i.e. ΔhapR-TnqstR) did not affect killing. However, this phenotype remained VasH-dependent (Figure 5A). VasH, encoded within the major T6SS cluster, is a σ^D activator protein (19) that regulates transcription of the genes in the major and auxiliary T6SS clusters and is necessary for se-
The inability of QstR to induce competence was not unexpected since the genes encoding components of the type IV pilus that forms the DNA-uptake machinery required for transformation (15) are activated by TfoX in a QS-independent and hence, QstR-independent manner (9,17).

We therefore tested the effect of simultaneous induction of tfoX and qstR using a TnqstR-carrying strain that, in addition, carried an inducible copy of tfoX in the neutral lacZ locus (referred to as tfoX). This strain resulted in a significantly higher transformation frequency (Figure 5B, shaded box) and comEA expression (Supplementary Figure S4A and B) than the strains carrying either tfoX or TnqstR alone. These results demonstrate that both regulators are required for competence development.

Additionally, to assess the contribution of HapR to competence development once QstR is produced, we tested the strains tfoX ΔhapR-TnqstR and tfoX ΔhapRΔdns-TnqstR. As shown in Figure 5B (shaded box), the strain carrying a hapR deletion is transformable, with transformation frequencies ~10-fold reduced compared to the HapR-positive tfoX-TnqstR strain, while the ΔhapRΔdns strain displayed wild-type levels of transformation upon TfoX and QstR production. These results demonstrate that when qstR is highly expressed in the presence of TfoX, HapR is not re-
Arabinose was used to induce the gene carried on the transposon and comEA expression, as QstR alone was able to partially induce comEA but not in the ΔhapR strain (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure S4A). However, the transformation results shown here suggest that HapR does not have another role in transformation outside of qstR induction and repression of dns, or at least that the requirement for HapR can be bypassed by TfoX and high levels of QstR.

QstR directly regulates genes in its regulon by binding to DNA

To investigate whether QstR directly regulates target genes by binding to their promoters we first attempted electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) with purified QstR. We used probes encompassing the upstream regions of genes that are known to be affected by QstR production (Figure 4A and B), namely comEA, comM, and dns. However, all attempts to observe specific QstR binding to these probes were unsuccessful. We speculated that the interaction with another protein or a cofactor might be required for QstR’s DNA binding ability and therefore repeated the experiment in the presence of V. cholerae cells lysates (e.g. of cells induced for competence and overproducing either QstR or its QstR[L137A] variant). Still, no DNA binding of QstR occurred under those conditions. Hence, we decided to map the binding sites of QstR by chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with deep sequencing (ChIP-seq). For this purpose we used cross-linked lysates of WT and ΔqstR cells grown under competence-inducing conditions. Sequencing of the DNA obtained by immunoprecipitation with a specific anti-QstR antibody revealed 52 QstR-dependent peaks. Importantly, we identified a peak upstream of most of the genes shown to be QstR-dependent, namely comM, dns, comF, comEC, vipA (the first gene of the large T6SS cluster), ligA2, tfoY and others (Figure 6A; ChIP DNA coverage Supplementary Figure S5 with zoomed in peaks in Supplementary Figure S6; full list of peaks in Supplementary Table S2).

Interestingly, we identified a QstR-dependent peak upstream of VC0047 (Supplementary Figure S6 and Supplementary Table S2), the first gene of a four-gene operon. The protein encoded by VC0047 shares homology with TsaP, which is important for type IV pilus biogenesis in Neisseria gonorrhoeae due to its peptidoglycan binding activity and its formation of a peripheral structure outside of the channel formed by the secretin PilQ (50). As V. cholerae uses a type IV pilus as central part of its DNA-uptake machinery (15), we tested the effect of VC0047 on natural transformability. Deletion of VC0047 reduced the frequency of transformation ~10-fold (transformation frequency on chitin 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ [± 8.0 × 10⁻⁵] compared to the WT parent 1.4 × 10⁻³ [± 6.9 × 10⁻⁴]). The second gene in the operon encodes DprA, a homolog of a single stranded DNA binding protein that is required for transformation in V. cholerae (9) and other bacteria (51–53). Both genes are induced by TfoX but their induction appears to be QS-independent (11); Supplementary file 1). Nevertheless, production of QstR from TnqstR induced the expression of VC0047 ~2-fold as determined by RNA-seq (Supplementary file 2) and 5-fold in the qRT-PCR experiment (Supplementary Figure S4A). Additionally, co-induction from tfoX and TnqstR resulted in considerably higher VC0047 mRNA levels than when tfoX alone was induced (Supplementary Figure S4A). Therefore, it appears that QstR may play an auxiliary role in the regulation of this promoter.
Another gene that is induced during competence in other bacteria is *radC* (54–57). *radC* encodes a DNA repair protein that appears to be dispensable for transformation in *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* (58,59). RadC also appears dispensable for transformation in *V. cholerae* (transformation frequency on chitin was $2.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ for the *radC* mutant compared to the one of the WT, which was $1.4 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.9 \times 10^{-5}$). We identified two QstR-dependent peaks in the proximity of this gene (Supplementary Figure S6; Supplementary Table S2). One peak was located in the beginning of the preceding gene (*VC0216*; 1783 bp upstream of *radC*) and the second one was 118 bp upstream of *radC*. However, the expression of *radC* was not affected at significant levels upon TfoX or QstR induction (Supplementary file 1 and 2). Surprisingly, we also found a peak upstream of *pilA*, which encodes the major subunit of the DNA-uptake pilus (Supplementary Figure S6). We previously showed that *pilA* expression is highly induced by TfoX in a QS-independent manner (11) and confirmed this result here, by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Figure S4A). Therefore it is unclear if and how QstR binding to these loci might affect the expression of these genes.

Furthermore, we identified a peak upstream of *qstR* itself (Supplementary Figure S6) suggesting that *qstR* may
be subject to auto-regulation. Indeed, using discriminatory primers that either anneal to the native qstR locus or to qstR inside the TnqstR construct, we could demonstrate that QstR induces its own expression, though to a lesser extent in the absence of TfoX (Supplementary Figure S7). Moreover, as shown in Supplementary Figure S7, auto-activation of QstR was fully dependent on the presence of HapR, in agreement with recent observations using a plasmid-borne system based on a luciferase reporter in V. cholerae strain C6706 (60).

To validate the ChIP-seq data we performed ChIP-qPCR experiments using primers amplifying the regions around the identified peaks. These experiments confirmed the enrichment of the top 15 peaks identified by ChIP-seq, as well as those for pilA, qstR and VC0047 (Figure 6B and Supplementary Figure S8). Additionally, using ChIP-qPCR we showed that the non-dimerizing QstR[L137A] mutant does not bind to DNA, suggesting that dimerization is required for DNA binding (Figure 6C and Supplementary Figure S9).

Finally, the analysis of the DNA sequences around the peaks identified by ChIP-seq revealed several motifs that could serve as potential QstR binding sites (Supplementary Table S3). However, none of the identified motifs was commonly found upstream of the genes shown here to be regulated in a QstR-dependent manner. Thus, the nature of the QstR binding site remains unknown.

**QstR does not bind upstream of comEA**

To our surprise we did not identify a QstR-dependent peak upstream of comEA in the ChIP-seq experiment and likewise, when validated by ChIP-qPCR, we did not observe any enrichment of DNA fragments in this region (Figure 6A and B). This was unexpected since comEA is one of the most upregulated genes during competence induction and this upregulation is strictly QstR-dependent (17,18) as also demonstrated in this study (~400-fold induction in TnTfoX strain versus ~2-fold in ΔqstR-TnTfoX strain; Supplementary file 1 and Supplementary Figure S4A). We therefore hypothesized that comEA expression might be controlled by another regulator that is produced in a QstR-dependent manner. In an attempt to identify such a regulator we first deleted the non-essential genes in proximity of the ChIP-seq peaks that could potentially be regulated by QstR and tested comEA expression using a chromosomal comEA-lacZ transcriptional reporter fusion. However, none of these genes altered comEA-lacZ expression significantly, either in the presence or absence of TfoX (Supplementary Figure S10). Additionally we tested these deletion strains for their natural transformability and E. coli killing and discovered that none of these genes was required for transformation (Supplementary Figure S11A) or T6SS-mediated interbacterial killing (Supplementary Figure S11B). Deletion of VC2320 abolished the killing phenotype; however, the strain had a significant growth defect. We also observed impaired killing by the ΔdksA strain. dksA encodes a global regulator involved in the stringent response and its deletion was previously shown to affect processes such as motility and virulence in V. cholerae (61).

Therefore, none of these genes appears to encode proteins specifically involved in transformation or killing.

One possibility is that QstR-dependent induction of comEA could be mediated by a small RNA (sRNA). Thus, we examined the ChIP-seq data for any QstR-dependent peaks in direct proximity to known sRNAs and sRNAs recently identified by differential RNA sequencing (62). Indeed, we found two ChIP-seq peaks next to sRNA genes; however, deletion of neither of the sRNA genes had an effect on chitin-dependent transformation or comEA expression (Supplementary Figure S12A and B). The interaction of many sRNAs with the target mRNA requires the RNA chaperone Hfq (63). Therefore, we also tested a Δhfq strain in the chitin-dependent and chitin-independent transformation assays. In the chitin-dependent assay the transformation frequency of the Δhfq strain was below the limit of detection (d.l. = 3.5 × 10⁻⁷ ± 2.7 × 10⁻⁷). This was expected, due to the requirement of Hfq for translation of the tfoX mRNA, which is facilitated by the TfoR sRNA (64). Notably, the transformation frequency of the Δhfq mutant was similar to the wild-type strain (1.7 × 10⁻⁴ ± 1.2 × 10⁻⁴ compared to wild-type strain 2.9 × 10⁻⁴ ± 1.5 × 10⁻⁴) in the chitin-independent assay, indicating that Hfq is no longer required for transformation when TfoX is present. These results therefore do not support the hypothesis that a sRNA might regulate comEA expression downstream of QstR.

Next, we attempted to identify the putative intermediate regulator between QstR and comEA using a transposon mutagenesis screen. Using a strain carrying the comEA-lacZ transcriptional reporter fusion and TnTfoX, we screened ~80,000 colonies (in two separate screens) for loss of lacZ expression. As expected, we identified multiple transposon insertions in the genes encoding known comEA regulators such as qstR, hapR, cyaA and cytR, as well as in several other genes (Supplementary Table S4; details are provided in the supplementary material). However, none of these newly identified genes encoded a specific QstR-dependent regulator of comEA.

As a final approach to identify the potential regulatory protein, we directly determined the proteins that bind to the comEA promoter region. To do so, we performed a DNA affinity pull-down assay (44,65) using a biotinylated probe encompassing the upstream region of comEA. This probe was incubated with the lysates of competence-induced WT or qstR-minus strains. As a negative control, we used the upstream region of gyrA. To validate the assay, we used a probe containing the upstream region of qstR incubated with the same lysates, and confirmed HapR’s binding to this region (Supplementary Figure S13A). Mass spectrometry analysis of the eluted fractions revealed several DNA binding proteins that were common to all the probes. Additionally, a few proteins were specifically eluted from the comEA probe. Most notably VCA0199 (uncharacterized protein) was highly enriched on the comEA probe, as well as two other transcriptional regulators (Supplementary Table S5). However, strains carrying deletions of these genes were fully transformable and comEA-lacZ expression appeared unaffected (Supplementary Figure S13B and C). Importantly, we did not observe any significant differences in the elution profiles from the comEA probe incubated with the lysates of either the wild-type or ΔqstR strains. In conclusion, de-
spite several attempts, we were so far unable to identify the putative intermediate regulator of comEA.

**DISCUSSION**

QstR has been shown to be an important regulator involved in natural competence and TfoX-dependent activation of the T6SS genes, though the mode of action and the full set of regulated genes was unknown (17,18). Here, we show that QstR undergoes dimerization and that dimerization is required for binding to DNA upstream of QstR-regulated genes. Dimerization and the activity of LuxR-type regulators are often controlled by phosphorylation (45). However, similar to the biofilm regulator VpsT, the putative phosphorylation site of QstR appears dispensable for protein function. Interestingly, in LuxR-type regulators that act independently of phosphorylation, a co-factor is often required to mediate dimerization and hence DNA-binding (23–25). In the case of VpsT this cofactor is the nucleotide second messenger c-di-GMP (26). We therefore previously hypothesized that QstR activation might require the binding of a cofactor, perhaps competence specific (17). However, for the reasons that follow, we no longer favor the idea that such a cofactor would act in a similar manner as c-di-GMP in VpsT, which primarily fosters oligomerization (26).

First, QstR is able to self-interact in an *E. coli* bacterial two-hybrid assay. Second, QstR purified from *E. coli* behaves as a dimer in solution, as evidenced by analytical SEC. Thus, these results demonstrate that QstR can dimerize in a heterologous system without any Vibrio-specific signals. Third, in contrast to VpsT, QstR contains a proline substitution in the third position of the conserved c-di-GMP binding motif (i.e. WLPR), suggesting that QstR is unlikely to bind c-di-GMP. Indeed, our results demonstrate that QstR is highly functional under low c-di-GMP conditions but that a variant with the restored canonical binding motif (i.e. WLTR) is rendered c-di-GMP dependent. Moreover, substitution of the conserved arginine within the motif, required for c-di-GMP binding in VpsT, does not abolish QstR function. In line with our results, a systematic search of c-di-GMP binding proteins in *V. cholerae* did not identify QstR as a c-di-GMP receptor protein (66). These findings are in agreement with a recent report on the VpsT homolog of *Vibrio vulnificus*, BrpT, which bears the same WLPR variant motif and also acts in a c-di-GMP independent manner (67). Although we cannot exclude the possibility that another cofactor might be required for QstR dimerization and full functionality, it appears that it would not be competence- or even species-specific. Finally, multiple lines of evidence demonstrate that the L137A substitution abolishes QstR function by rendering it unable to dimerize. Thus, in contrast to VpsT, which contains a second nucleotide-independent dimerization domain (26), QstR likely contains a single dimerization interface.

The regulation of *comEA*, *comEC*, *dns*, and the T6SS genes was previously shown to be QstR-dependent (17,18). Using RNA-seq we defined the QstR regulon and identified other genes that are regulated in a QstR-dependent manner (Figure 7). None of the QstR induced genes, besides the known clusters, affected T6SS-mediated interbacterial killing. However, two genes involved in transformation were identified as being QstR-dependent, namely *comF*, which was previously shown to be essential for transformation due to its role in translocation of DNA across the inner membrane (15) and *comM*. In a recent study, *comM* was shown to encode a helicase that promotes integration of the incoming heterologous DNA into the genome (68), similar to RadA’s role in natural transformation of *S. pneumoniae* (69). Moreover, deletion of *comM* reduced transformation frequencies ~100-fold (68). Here, however, the defect of a *comM*-minus strain was only modest with a ~5-fold reduced transformation frequency. The reason for this discrepancy is most likely based on the different transforming DNA used in both assays. We used genomic DNA with an integrated antibiotic resistance cassette, a DNA substrate that likely resembles DNA released during the co-regulated interbacterial killing events that occur on chitinous surfaces (18), while Nero et al. used a PCR product with shorter homologous regions. Additionally, QstR might also play an auxiliary role in regulation of other genes encoding proteins involved in competence such as *VC0047* and *dprA* (*VC0048*). The remaining newly identified QstR-induced genes did not appear to be required for either of the two known QstR-dependent phenotypes and thus, the significance of their regulation by QstR remains unknown.

Notably, when we mapped QstR binding sites by chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with deep sequencing (ChIP-seq), we found that QstR binds upstream of the majority of the QstR-regulated genes, including its own promoter region, suggesting that transcriptional regulation by QstR is direct. Interestingly, since the expression of *dns* (nuclease) and *tfoY* (T6SS regulator) are both reduced in a
QstR-dependent manner, yet we observed QstR-dependent enrichment of DNA fragments upstream of these genes, we conclude that QstR is a dual regulator that can act as a transcriptional activator and repressor. However, analysis of the DNA sequences upstream of the genes identified by ChIP-seq did not reveal an obvious common binding motif. Further investigation into the nature and positioning of the QstR binding sites will therefore be required to clarify how the binding site determines whether the gene will be up- or down-regulated.

Our results also demonstrate that QstR is itself sufficient for the expression of the majority of QstR-dependent genes, including all genes encoding the T6SS components. Accordingly, TfoX-independent induction of QstR production is sufficient for activation of T6SS mediated interbacterial killing, corroborating a previous report in a different *V. cholerae* strain ([60]). Thus, the role of TfoX in chitin-dependent T6SS activation appears to be through its primary role in *gstR* induction. In contrast, TfoX is required for the regulation of the majority of the genes involved in transformation, in particular ones that encode the components of DNA-uptake machinery, including the QstR-dependent comEA gene.

Unexpectedly, we did not find evidence that QstR binds directly to the *comEA* promoter despite it being the most highly induced gene during competence development ([3, 11, 17, 18] and this work). One possibility is that this reflects a technical artifact of the ChIP or ChIP-qPCR methods. However, the fact that it was possible to identify the other genes that are induced or repressed at varying levels in a QstR-dependent manner, argues against this idea. Our results therefore strongly suggest that QstR does not regulate *comEA* directly, but rather requires the involvement of an intermediate regulator. However, despite using various complementary approaches we were unable to identify such a regulator. Future work should therefore be directed towards finding the missing regulator, which might provide new insights into the complex regulatory pathways that lead to competence development in *V. cholerae*.
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