Quasi-Digital Biosensor-Interface for a Portable Pen to Monitor Anaesthetics Delivery
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Abstract—Monitoring of patient response to the anaesthetic drugs is an attractive improvement for achieving a correct balance of sedation level, increasing the chance of success in the right procedure of anaesthesia. Nowadays, there are no commercial tools able to offer real-time monitoring of anaesthetics, indeed, there is still a lack in sensing technologies able to maintain high performances in long term monitoring within a portable miniaturised hardware system. To overcome these limitations, we are here presenting the innovative concept of a portable pen-device able to sense anaesthetic compounds over time. This study is based on an electrochemical sensor to be fully integrated into a complete pen-shaped point-of-care for the monitoring of anaesthesia delivery. The design of the system is based on a bio-inspired event-based approach that is guaranteeing low complexity, low power consumption and is therefore suitable to be scaled to fit the barrel of a pen. An exhaustive comparison between the proposed system and a lab instrument proves that the presented approach obtains comparable performances in terms of sensitivity and resolution with the ones obtained by expensive commercial instrumentation, meanwhile, the results show a 95 % power consumption reduction and a 92 % area decrease w.r.t. previously presented implementation.

Index Terms—Anaesthesia, bio-inspired, biosensor, portable, quasi digital, therapeutic drug monitoring.

I. INTRODUCTION

The anaesthesia is a critical surgery medical procedure that requires the administration of several drugs: typically, hypnotic, analgesic, and muscle relaxant. To obtain appropriate sedation and avoid intoxication, the delivery of these compounds must be balanced and accurate. The Depth Of Anaesthesia (DOA) is the metric to express the effect of anaesthesia on the patient and it is nowadays evaluated by the estimation of the Bispectral Index (BIS), which is a weighted sum of several ElectroEncephaloGraphic (EEG) parameters [1]. However, this approach presents some limitations and can lead to wrong dose estimation due to the high inter-patient variability [2]. To improve the traditional approach of anaesthesia delivery, this work aims to present a Therapeutic Drug Monitoring (TDM) system able to provide on-line monitoring of the actual drug concentration infused in the patient’s veins. This will allow a dynamic and personalised adjustment of the drug delivery [3].

Electrochemical investigation can be adopted to measure the therapeutic compounds, in particular, Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) and Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) [4] are highly attractive method due to fast measurements, multi-sensing, and high sensitivity [5]. In voltammetry, a voltage sweep is applied to an electrochemical cell across its Working Electrode (WE) and its Reference Electrode (RE). The applied potential makes a Redox reaction to happen on the electrochemical sensor and the current is then measured from the Counter Electrode (CE). The resulting waveform (current upon applied voltage) shows peaks, which give a reliable measure of the actual drug concentration, and this can be done by systems called potentiostats. Architectures able to monitor the anaesthetic delivery have been recently presented, however, they are still not easily integrable into portable or wearable devices [6], [7].

The focus of this paper is the design, development, and validation of a Commercial-Off-the-Shelf (COTS) component-based potentiostat, whose size is comparable with a pen. The major design novelty of the proposed architecture is the amperometric sensing through bio-inspired event-based techniques, which is innovative with respect to the standard approach involving power-hungry DAC and ADC in the architecture [8]. Indeed, the full proposed potentiostat is a quasi-digital electronic interface. In the quasi-digital domain, the information is coded in timing in a series of pulses, called events, containing both the properties of analog and digital signals. This event-based design approach presents indeed great advantages considering the processing in the time domain [9], the noise-
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Fig. 1: Concept of the proposed system, the portable monitoring pen will provide in real-time the concentration of the drugs infused in the patient under anaesthesia.
reduction, and the accuracy increase [10]. The compact read-out circuit is reducing both size and power consumption, the information translation is continuous, without information-loss, with a lower transmission energy cost [11]. The literature presents numerous examples of applications based on bio-inspired and quasi-digital approaches [12]–[14], although, to the best of our knowledge, they have never been integrated for the scope of providing on-line monitoring of anaesthesia.

The proposed architecture has been validated by comparing the measurement results with the ones achieved by a commercial laboratory potentiostat. The acetaminophen (APAP) is the benchmark drug since it is usually administered in anaesthesiology [15].

II. THE CONCEPT

We propose here a small and portable object able to monitor the anaesthetic within a pen-shape as shown in Fig. 1. The object shall be considered as an additional instrument to give the anaesthesiologist the ability to continuously monitor the drug absorption in the patient. The system must contain an electronic potentiostat (composed by a voltage driver and a current read-out) and an electrochemical sensor for anaesthetic sensing. Fig. 2 presents the developed prototype of the portable pen. In 18 mm diameter plastic tube a custom Printed Circuit Board (PCB) implements the proposed architecture, while a commercial Screen-Printed Electrode (SPE) acts as an electrochemical sensor for the APAP.

III. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

The presented bio-sensor electronic-interface relies on quasi-digital to analog conversion, and vice-versa. Fig. 3 shows the proposed design which contains a voltage driver (PWMtoV) and a current read-out (ItoQDE). The voltage follower (VF) avoids current flow on the RE. The grounded WE is the selected topology for the potentiostat architecture since it minimises the number of components required [4]. The WE is connected to half-supply ($\frac{1}{2}V_{DD}$) to achieve both dual-voltage driving and dual-current sensing (i.e. driving and measuring both positive and negative voltages/currents) without the introduction in the system of a dual-voltage supply.

To drive the electrochemical cell a Pulse Width Modulated (PWM) signal (which is indeed a type of q.d. signal) is converted to a stable voltage by the PWMtoV. This block is a second-order active low-pass filter in the Sallen-Key configuration. The driving potential applied between the CE and the WE is so directly proportional to the Duty Cycle (DC) of the PWM input signal. At convenience, it is possible to furnish to the electrochemical cell an increasing or decreasing voltage ramp, just modulating the DC of the input. The oxidation or reduction current ($i_{cell}$) is read converting it to a Quasi-Digital stream of Event (QDE) by the ItoQDE block. Differently from what the literature proposed in [12], [16], [17], we show here a low-complexity one-input one-output read-out circuit able to convert the dual-range current in an event stream, with a single-voltage supply. The Fig. 4 shows the schematic of the ItoQDE module which contains three amplifier blocks: a trans-impedance ($A_1$, and $R_1$), an integrator ($A_2$, $R_2$, and $C_1$) and a comparator ($A_3$, $R_4$, and $R_5$). Fig. 5 presents the timing diagram of the current to frequency conversion. The capacitor $C_1$ is discharged according to the input current (adapted to the dynamic by the trans-impedance amplifier), the comparator notifies when $V_{C1}$ overcomes the lower threshold, this sets QDE. After that, a reference current rapidly charges again the capacitor through the feedback MOSFET ($M_1$ and $R_3$), the signal QDE is reset, and the conversion can start again. The sampled current ($i_0$) is proportional to the timing between two consecutive edges according to (1), where $i_0$ is the offset
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Fig. 2: Portable pen, the custom PCB implements the proposed potentiostat and the SPE acts as electrochemical sensor.
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Fig. 3: Proposed potentiostat, the voltage driver (PWMtoV) and the current read-out (ItoQDE) are both event-based modules.
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Fig. 4: Scheme of the ItoQDE converter. Current from the WE (right) is converted to QDE train of pulses (left).
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Fig. 5: ItoQD converter timing diagram. The timing ($T$) of QDE signal is proportional to the monitored current.
current and $V_{th}$ is the comparator threshold.

$$i_{cell} = -\frac{R_2 \cdot C_1 \cdot V_{th}}{R_1 \cdot T} + i_0 \quad (1)$$

In the implemented potentiostat the PCB size is $78 \times 10$ mm. Tuning the component according to Eq. 1, the driving voltage range is set to $[-1.2 : 1.2]$ V, while the input current range is $[-60 : 60]$ µA. The system is designed to fit an input PWM signal at the frequency of 20 kHz. In the current range, the event rate (i.e. frequency of the events) of the output QDE signal spans across $[0.38 : 34]$ kevent/s, with a resting event rate of 17 kevent/s. A closed feedback loop experiment is performed to verify the system, inserting a resistor between shortened CE-RE and WE nodes. Our experiments highlighted that both PWM to voltage and current to QDE conversions are linear with a maximum linearity error of 0.14 %. In order to quantify the noise level, the WE input is left unconnected and the current is sampled at the operating frequency of the CV, namely 60 Hz. Considering the standard deviation of the samples, the level of noise is 39 nA. In the full scale ($\pm 60$ µA), the equivalent number of bits is 11.6 bit, closer to the resolution of DAC usually implemented in a custom-built potentiostat for bio-sensing application (12 bit [5]). The mean total power consumption of the board measured during lab testing is 19.5 mW.

IV. SYSTEM VALIDATION

As visible in Fig. 2, the potentiostat described in Section III has been interfaced to the electrochemical sensor for the APAP. This sensor has been obtained by carbon Screen-Printed Electrode (SPE), namely DropSens DRP-110, with a central carbon WE (4 mm diameter), carbon CE, and silver RE. To perform the tests, APAP powder from Sigma Aldrich® is dissolved in Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS, pH 7.4, 10 mM). The PBS is also used as background electrolyte. The measure is repeated three times for each condition of the samples, the level of noise is 39 nA. In the full scale ($\pm 60$ µA), the equivalent number of bits is 11.6 bit, closer to the resolution of DAC usually implemented in a custom-built potentiostat for bio-sensing application (12 bit [5]). The mean total power consumption of the board measured during lab testing is 19.5 mW.

To validate our system, the sensing of APAP has been also repeated by using a lab instrument, i.e. the Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT 302N driven by the software Nova 1.11. The data received both from the Autolab and from the developed system are processed in Matlab® (v. R2017b), without any further post-processing or filter. The peaks are detected with the findpeaks built-in function, after a blank-baseline rigid translation. The function returns prominence of the current peak, this value is considered for the final estimation of the drug concentration.

V. RESULTS

Fig.6 displays a comparison in the output acquired by the two devices (our system and Autolab) considering CV (6a, 6b) and DPV (6c, 6d). The proposed solution introduces a certain level of white noise (6b, 6d), despite this, in both methods the results are comparable. Fig. 7 reports the calibration curves obtained by the analysis of the data. The implemented system does not introduce a visible reduction in sensitivity, the two fitting curves almost overlap with both methods. The evaluated regression coefficient ($r^2$, higher than 0.99) does not change, hence, the system does not introduce non-linearities. Table I presents a comparison between the two architectures. The sensitivity is similar (-12 % w.r.t. Autolab in CV), with an uncertain 20 % lower in the presented solution (w.r.t. Autolab in DPV). Even if the Limit Of Detection (LOD, the minimum detectable quantity of analyte, computed as three times the standard deviation of the blank around the peak, divided by the comparator threshold.

$$i_{cell} = -\frac{R_2 \cdot C_1 \cdot V_{th}}{R_1 \cdot T} + i_0 \quad (1)$$

In the implemented potentiostat the PCB size is $78 \times 10$ mm. Tuning the component according to Eq. 1, the driving voltage range is set to $[-1.2 : 1.2]$ V, while the input current range is $[-60 : 60]$ µA. The system is designed to fit an input PWM signal at the frequency of 20 kHz. In the current range, the event rate (i.e. frequency of the events) of the output QDE signal spans across $[0.38 : 34]$ kevent/s, with a resting event rate of 17 kevent/s. A closed feedback loop experiment is performed to verify the system, inserting a resistor between shortened CE-RE and WE nodes. Our experiments highlighted that both PWM to voltage and current to QDE conversions are linear with a maximum linearity error of 0.14 %. In order to quantify the noise level, the WE input is left unconnected and the current is sampled at the operating frequency of the CV, namely 60 Hz. Considering the standard deviation of the samples, the level of noise is 39 nA. In the full scale ($\pm 60$ µA), the equivalent number of bits is 11.6 bit, closer to the resolution of DAC usually implemented in a custom-built potentiostat for bio-sensing application (12 bit [5]). The mean total power consumption of the board measured during lab testing is 19.5 mW.

IV. SYSTEM VALIDATION

As visible in Fig. 2, the potentiostat described in Section III has been interfaced to the electrochemical sensor for the APAP. This sensor has been obtained by carbon Screen-Printed Electrode (SPE), namely DropSens DRP-110, with a central carbon WE (4 mm diameter), carbon CE, and silver RE. To perform the tests, APAP powder from Sigma Aldrich® is dissolved in Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS, pH 7.4, 10 mM). The PBS is also used as background electrolyte. The measure is performed on subsequent increasing concentration of APAP in the therapeutic range $[50 : 300]$ µM with an increase step of 50 µM. The measure is repeated three times for each condition with a new electrode to consider the inter-electrode variability. Two different electrochemical sensing methods are taken into account: CV and DPV. The CV is performed with a Scan Rate (SR) of 0.2 V/s, in the range $[-0.1 : 1.1]$ V, with a voltage step of 6 mV, and a time step of 30 ms. The DPV is performed at 0.1 V/s, in the range $[0 : 1]$ V, with a voltage step of 6 mV, a time step of 60 ms, the modulation amplitude is set to 60 mV, and the modulation time to 30 ms. The difference in SR between the two methods is introduced in order to compensate the higher sensitivity of DPV method [4]. An FPGA board Xilinx® Artix-7™ controls the realised system. A custom-built digital interface generates the PWM signal to drive the cell with the waveform required by the voltammetry technique. Measuring the timing of the event received on the QDE line with a simple free-running counter, the current is constantly sampled every voltage step. The data collected are sent out through a UART-USB port and stored.

To validate our system, the sensing of APAP has been also repeated by using a lab instrument, i.e. the Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT 302N driven by the software Nova 1.11. The data received both from the Autolab and from the developed system are processed in Matlab® (v. R2017b), without any further post-processing or filter. The peaks are detected with the findpeaks built-in function, after a blank-baseline rigid translation. The function returns prominence of the current peak, this value is considered for the final estimation of the drug concentration.

V. RESULTS

Fig.6 displays a comparison in the output acquired by the two devices (our system and Autolab) considering CV (6a, 6b) and DPV (6c, 6d). The proposed solution introduces a certain level of white noise (6b, 6d), despite this, in both methods the results are comparable. Fig. 7 reports the calibration curves obtained by the analysis of the data. The implemented system does not introduce a visible reduction in sensitivity, the two fitting curves almost overlap with both methods. The evaluated regression coefficient ($r^2$, higher than 0.99) does not change, hence, the system does not introduce non-linearities. Table I presents a comparison between the two architectures. The sensitivity is similar (-12 % w.r.t. Autolab in CV), with an uncertain 20 % lower in the presented solution (w.r.t. Autolab in DPV). Even if the Limit Of Detection (LOD, the minimum detectable quantity of analyte, computed as three times the standard deviation of the blank around the peak, divided by the standard deviation of the blank).
the sensitivity) has a higher value in our system, due to lower noise rejection, the value remains one order of magnitude lower than the minimum therapeutic concentration of the APAP, hence, confirming its excellent performance. These findings are highly promising, considering the reduction of three orders of magnitude of hardware cost, the minimal size, and the portability between our system under test and the commercial instrumentation. The results prove that the presented approach does not require the introduction of post-processing, analog/digital filter, and differential measurement in our system, methods all adopted by the Autolab, to get comparable performance.

Table II shows a comparison between our solution and other anaesthetic sensing circuits presented in literature [5], [7]. Our system is suitable for the target application (as demonstrated by the comparison w.r.t Autolab) with the advantage of removing ADC and DAC, featuring 5% of the power consumed by [7] in 8% of the size of [5].

VI. CONCLUSION

We presented a novel concept and newly-designed architecture for drug monitoring targeted to be inserted in a portable pen for improved anaesthesiology practice. The results prove that our approach provides a portable, small, and low power system (respectively 92% and 95% reduction w.r.t. previous implementations), always maintaining comparable sensitivity with respect to reference commercial lab instruments, and LOD one order of magnitude below the requested minimum therapeutic concentration. Other anaesthetics, such as midazolam and propofol are potentially detectable by this method, therefore future works will test and validate performance in monitoring these anaesthetics. Moreover, the future steps are the design of a full custom digital interface (optimised for the bio-inspired event-based processing), and the development of a needle-shaped bio-sensor.

TABLE I: Results comparison between Autolab and our system, considering CV and DPV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CV</th>
<th></th>
<th>DPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autolab</td>
<td>Our system</td>
<td>Autolab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>54.1 ± 7.1</td>
<td>47.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>58.6 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD (µM)</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.18</td>
<td>5.43 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7: Calibration curves comparison between Autolab and our system, for CV (a) and DPV (b).

TABLE II: Comparison with anaesthetic sensing systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[5]</th>
<th>[7]</th>
<th>Our system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conversion type</td>
<td>ItoV</td>
<td>ItoV</td>
<td>ItoF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of ADCs/DACs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board size (mm²)</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Consumption (mW)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>