The interplay between deformation mechanisms in austenitic 304 steel during uniaxial and equibiaxial loading
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Abstract
The preferred deformation mechanisms with respect to the load path are studied in a medium stacking fault energy 304 austenitic stainless steel that exhibits both transformation induced plasticity and twinning induced plasticity. In situ neutron diffraction and post-mortem EBSD show that the transformation from $\gamma$-austenite to $\alpha'$-martensite is facilitated by equibiaxial loading rather than uniaxial loading. The results are discussed with respect to the evolving crystallographic texture, the presence of deformation twins and martensite under the different load paths. The evolving crystallographic texture under uniaxial loading favors the deformation twinning and delays the martensitic transformation. In contrast, under equibiaxial loading the strain is accommodated by slip along multiple slip planes, which provide nucleation sites for martensitic transformation. It is found that the preferred deformation mechanism is not only an inherent property related to the stacking fault energy, but it also greatly depends on the load path and the deformation texture.

1. Introduction
Contradicting reports have been published on the influence of the loading state on the martensitic transformation. Some studies report that uniaxial loading facilitates the deformation-induced martensitic transformation [1,2], others show that the martensitic transformation is favored under biaxial loading [3,4]. The loading state has been taken into account in martensite kinetic models by scalar variables [1,5]. A more recent physically-driven model implemented in an elasto-plastic (EPS) framework accounts for the effect of load path by modeling the splitting of the Shockley partial dislocations (SPDs) as a precursor for the martensitic transformation [6]. However, the above mentioned kinetic models neglect the interaction of several co-existing deformation mechanisms, such as transformation induced plasticity (TRIP) and/or twinning induced plasticity (TWIP), and assume a single deformation mechanism regardless the load path (i.e. only martensitic transformation).

The stacking fault energy (SFE) of an austenitic stainless steel strongly influences the preferred deformation mechanisms [7]. It has been generally observed that with increasing the SFE, the deformation mechanisms gradually change from martensitic phase transformations (TRIP) [2,8,9] to mechanical twinning (TWIP) [9,10] and plasticity only by dislocation slip [9].

The effect of uniaxial/biaxial loading on the martensitic transformation of a low SFE austenitic steel was studied by in-situ neutron diffraction [2]. It was shown that uniaxial loading favors the martensitic transformation following the sequence $\gamma \rightarrow \varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha'$, where at low strains $\varepsilon$-martensite is the precursor of $\alpha'$-martensite. During equibiaxial-loading however, the evolving texture suppresses the formation of $\varepsilon$-martensite and considerably less $\alpha'$-martensite is observed at high strains. It was shown that the grains containing martensite belong to orientations for which the leading partial dislocation (LPD) has a higher Schmid factor than the trailing partial dislocation (TPD). The martensitic transformation is suppressed during equibiaxial loading as a consequence of the different texture formed during deformation, as compared to uniaxial loading.

In materials with higher SFE, for which nucleation and growth of twins is observed [11,12], several models have been proposed for the nucleation of twins as a result of dislocation interactions [13–15]. As the growth of twins is described by the glide of Shockley partial dislocations (SPDs), the precursor for twin growth is the separation of SPDs under an external load [16,17] and the difference in the stress...
between the LPD and the TPD has been also applied to explain the growth of twins [18]. Some steels with appropriate compositions/SFEs exhibit a combination of TWIP/TRIP effects [9,18–20]. It has been observed experimentally that twins form at lower strains than \( \alpha' \)-martensite [18,21,22], and the intercepts of twins with other planar defects can act as nucleation sites for \( \alpha' \)-martensite with increasing the applied strain [21]. A study on medium-Mn maraging steels [23] reported the competition between twinning and martensite formation: large grains exhibited only twinning whereas smaller only the martensitic transformation. The aim of this study is to compare the interplay of the deformation mechanisms in a nearly randomly textured, before deformation, austenitic 304 steel with medium SFE under monotonic uniaxial and equibiaxial loading. The deformation mechanisms (TWIP and/or TRIP) are discussed with respect to the load path and the evolving crystallographic texture.

2. Materials and methods

A commercial AISI304 (EN 1.4301) stainless steel with composition shown in Table 1 in sheet form of 8 mm thickness was purchased from SAUTER EDELSTAHL AG, Switzerland.

The inverse pole figure (IPF) map along the normal direction (ND) in Fig. 1 shows the initial microstructure exhibiting equiaxed grains with relatively random crystallographic texture (also shown in the IPFs in Fig. 4-a). The average grain size (excluding the annealing twins) is 35 \( \mu \)m. In situ neutron diffraction studies were carried out at the POLDI instrument of the Swiss neutron spallation source, SINQ, (Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland) using the biaxial deformation rig [2,24]. Cruciform-shaped samples with the geometry proposed in Ref. [24] were used for the equibiaxial tests.

For the uniaxial loading, dogbone-shaped samples with the geometry given in Ref. [25] were used. The biaxial deformation system is equipped with a 2-camera digital image correlation (DIC) system (GOM, Aramis 5 M) for measuring the in-plane strain at the center of the cruciform sample. Uniaxial loading and equibiaxial loading were performed with a loading rate of 80 N/s. The uniaxial loading direction was parallel to the rolling direction of the sheet (RD), and the equibiaxial load was applied along the RD and the second in-plane, hereafter called, transverse direction (TD). The neutron diffraction measurements were carried out in predefined force intervals after interrupting the loading and holding the displacement. The maximum equivalent strain reached during the equibiaxial and uniaxial tests were \( \approx 28\% \) and \( \approx 26\% \) respectively. For studying the uniaxial deformation under high strain, an additional dogbone sample was deformed up to 41.9\% (true) strain with the uniaxial test rig of the POLDI instrument. The neutron diffraction data were reduced and fitted using the open source software Mantid [26].

EBSD studies were carried out on the as-received and on the deformed material by extracting samples from the center of the deformed cruciform and dogbone samples. The samples were ground with 1200 grit SiC paper and then electropolished for 12s with a 16:3:1 (by volume) ethanol, glycerol and perchloric acid solution at 42 V. A field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG SEM) Zeiss ULTRA 55 equipped with EDAX Hikari Camera operated at 20 kV in high current mode with 120 \( \mu \)m aperture was used. The EBSD raw data was post-processed using the EDAX OIM Analysis 7.3 software.

High-resolution digital image correlation (HR-DIC) was performed in an SEM on miniaturized cruciform samples under uniaxial and equibiaxial load paths. A detailed description of the sample preparation, experimental and analysis of the HR-DIC data is given in Ref. [27].

3. Results

3.1. Phase transformation

The mechanical data from both uniaxial and equibiaxial load paths are given in Fig. 2-a and -c, the resulting force is calculated as in Ref. [27]. Evaluating the stress state at the center of a cruciform-shaped specimen is not as straightforward as for a dogbone-shaped specimen (given in Fig. 2-a) since the cruciform sample does not have a well-defined cross-section [24,27,28]. Instead, finite element (FE) simulations can give a good estimate of the stress state in the middle of the cruciform, as presented in Ref. [27]. For this simulation, the stress-strain curve and isotropic elastic properties of the material, obtained from the uniaxial test, are used as materials properties input to the built-in model based on the Von Mises yield criterion and the associated flow rule in ABAQUS. Fig. 2-c shows the FE prediction for the equivalent stress at the center of the cruciform.

The neutron data are collected while keeping the displacement fixed and letting the sample to relax, as shown by the red points on the force-strain curve. Martensite reflections do not appear in the neutron diffraction patterns during uniaxial deformation up to 26% strain, as shown in Fig. 2-a and -b. By continuing straining the uniaxial loaded sample to 41.9% strain, \( \alpha' \)-martensite appears at strains above approximately 30%. Fig. 3 shows the increasing intensity of the 110BCC reflection of \( \alpha' \)-martensite as the strain increases. In contrast to uniaxial loading, new reflections corresponding to the \( \alpha' \)-martensite phase, i.e. 110BCC and 211BCC, appear already at approximately 15% of equivalent strain under equibiaxial loading, as shown in Fig. 2-c and -d. The equibiaxial loading facilitates the formation of \( \alpha' \)-martensite and the transformation is seen to occur from austenite directly to \( \alpha' \)-martensite, i.e. \( \gamma \rightarrow \alpha' \). The austenite/martensite diffraction intensity fraction and the mechanical behavior of these samples were used as input in the model development in Ref. [6].

3.2. Crystallographic texture

Different crystallographic textures develop during uniaxial and equibiaxial deformation [2]. The nearly random crystallographic texture of the as-received material (Fig. 4-a) evolves to a strong < 111 > -
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>8–10.5</td>
<td>17.5–19.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
texture along the loading direction (LD) that is parallel to the RD during uniaxial loading. Meanwhile the $<110>$-texture weakens along the loading direction (LD), as shown in Fig. 4-b, and as also seen in Fig. 2-b where the 220\text{FCC} reflection almost disappears at strains higher than approximately 20%. The in-plane equibiaxial loading has the same normalized strain tensor as uniaxial compression along ND [24] and it results in a typical $<110>$-texture along the out-of-plane direction (Fig. 4-c) [2,29–31].

Fig. 5 shows the grain orientation maps after uniaxial (the IPF is given along RD) and equibiaxial (the IPF is given along ND) loading. The austenite grains with crystallographic orientations for which the LPDs experience higher stress than the TPDs i.e. the splitting distance between the SPDs increases, are colored blue, while the rest of the or-100ientations are colored red and $\alpha'$-martensite is shown in yellow. It is observed that after uniaxial deformation (Fig. 5-a) most grains favor the splitting of the SPDs (most grains are blue), however a very small fraction of martensite forms in both red and blue grains. The low volume fraction of martensite under uniaxial loading explains why martensite is not detected by neutron diffraction before 30% strain is reached (shown in Fig. 3). Under equibiaxial loading, the majority of $\alpha'$-martensite forms in the grains which do not favor the splitting of the SPDs (red grains) as shown in Fig. 5-b. The difference in martensitic transformation between uniaxial and biaxial deformation in this

---

**Fig. 2.** Force/stress-strain plots and the evolution of the neutron diffraction patterns with increasing strain for a) uniaxial loading c) equibiaxial loading. The red points indicate the strain values at which neutron measurements where conducted. The corresponding neutron diffraction patterns (b) and (d) as a function of von Mises strain. Parts of the q range are magnified showing the appearance of martensite under equibiaxial loading at strain higher than 15%. No martensite formation can be observed under uniaxial loading. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

**Fig. 3.** Sequence of neutron diffraction patterns under uniaxial loading (at strains from 22.3% to 41.9% true strain) showing the increasing intensity of the $110\text{BCC}$ $\alpha'$-martensite reflection at higher strain.
medium SFE material cannot be explained by the difference in stress experienced by the LPD and TPD, as was the case for the low SFE material where $\varepsilon$-martensite formed upon deformation [2].

3.3. Twinning

Twinning, as another possible deformation mechanism in medium SFE steels, is also influenced by the stresses experienced by the SPDs. In the EBSD map shown in Fig. 6-a, grains favorably oriented for splitting of the SPDs under uniaxial loading are marked as A, B, C, D. The orientation of these grains is given in Fig. 6-b. Deformation twins are seen in these grains. For instance in grain D, a characteristic misorientation of 60° relative to the parent grain is measured (plotted in Fig. 2-c) along the dashed line shown in Fig. 2-a. Fig. 7 shows a similar analysis for a grain favorably oriented for twinning in the sample deformed under equibiaxial loading. The EBSD map (Fig. 7-a) collected at high magnification shows several twins in grain (E); martensite is given in yellow. As these EBSD maps (Figs. 6 and 7) are collected after deformation, a significant gradient in orientation is seen within each grain. The orientation distribution of the chosen grains given in Figs. 6-b and 7-b, is however within the range of favorable orientations for twinning for both load paths.

The majority of the grains in the sample deformed under uniaxial loading favor the formation of deformation twins. Some grains with either favorable and non-favorable orientation for twinning contain martensite showing that the deformation twinning does not suppress the formation of martensite but it rather retards it. Fig. 6-a illustrates that $\alpha'$-martensite can form at twin boundaries and/or intercepts with...
other planar defects, such martensite is seen in grains (A) and (B).

In a previous study we demonstrated that high resolution digital image correlation can distinguish the slip activity under uniaxial and equibiaxial loading [27]. A more detailed examination of the crystallographic orientations of the grains within the field of view presented in Ref. [27], shows that the activation of slip in multiple slip planes is observed more frequently in the grains that do not favor twinning than those favorably oriented for twinning. In contrast, the grains with crystallographic orientations favorable for twinning, exhibit mostly slip on a single slip plane. Fig. 8-a shows a grain, favorably orientated for
splitting of the SPDs (favorable for twinning), where only one slip system is activated under uniaxial loading; Fig. 8-b shows a grain, non-favorably orientated for splitting of the SPDs, where two slip systems are activated under uniaxial loading. The statistical analysis of the slip behavior under both uniaxial and equibiaxial loading is plotted in Fig. 8-c for grains favorably and non-favorably for twinning. This result suggests that twinning suppresses the activation of slip on secondary slip planes, thus it suppresses the formation of shear band intercepts which are potential nucleation sites for martensite. The above-explained theory is valid for approximately 60–65% of the grains, whereas the observed discrepancy for the rest of the grains can be explained as follows. At the strain level (14% macroscopic strain) reached in Ref. [27], grain interactions and grain rotations can cause local stress variations so that the local stress state may not coincide with the macroscopically applied load [32]. This can locally affect the activation/suppression of secondary planes for slip.

4. Discussion and conclusion

The metastable austenitic 304 stainless steel was studied under uniaxial and equibiaxial load paths in situ with neutron diffraction. Equibiaxial loading facilitates the martensitic transformation following a direct path of γ-austenite to α′-martensite, whereas under uniaxial deformation the phase transformation is delayed. The sequence of the deformation mechanisms is schematically summarized in Fig. 9.

Under both strain paths, the deformation starts with single slip but after enough straining a <111>-texture and a <110>-texture is formed under uniaxial and equibiaxial deformation respectively. Under an evolving <111>-texture, the majority of the grains become favorably oriented for splitting of the partial dislocations and extended stacking faults and mechanical twinning form (steps 2–3 in Fig. 9), restricting the activation of slip on secondary slip planes. The lack of intersections of slip systems, which can serve as potential nucleation sites for α′-martensite, retards the phase transformation. Under biaxial loading, the evolving <110>-texture does not result in grain orientations that favor twinning, therefore slip on multiple slip planes starts allowing an early formation of α′-martensite at the slip intersections (steps 2–3 in Fig. 9). These results suggest that the TRIP/TRIP effects are not only dependent on the SFE, but they can be favored/suppressed under specific combinations of load path/crystallographic texture.

Data availability

The raw/processed data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time as the data also forms part of an ongoing study.
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